

Trace formulas for a class of compact complex surfaces

LEI YANG

Contents

1. Introduction
 - 1.1. Notation and terminology
 - 1.2. The main results
2. The spectral theory of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$
 - 2.1. The construction of hyperbolic elements
 - 2.2. The basic integral operator of weight 0
 - 2.3. The basic integral operator of weight k
 - 2.4. The spectral theory of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$
3. Trace formulas and zeta functions of weight k
 - 3.1. Basic notions
 - 3.2. The computation of the identity
 - 3.3. The computation of hyperbolic elements
 - 3.4. The trace formulas of weight k
 - 3.5. The zeta functions of weight k
 - 3.6. The functional equations of zeta functions
 - 3.7. The analogue of the Riemann hypothesis

1. Introduction

In his epoch-making paper [Se], Selberg developed a trace formula for discrete subgroups of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and proved the analogue of the Riemann hypothesis on compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g \geq 2$. There are at least two ways to generalize the Selberg trace formula. The first way involves passing from the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ or its universal covering space. Duflo and Labesse [DL] studied the trace formula on $GL(2)$. Later on, Arthur [Ar] developed the trace formula for semisimple Lie groups of rank one. On the other hand, Gangolli and Warner [Ga], [GW] gave the zeta functions of Selberg's type for symmetric spaces of rank one. The other approach concerns

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -T $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

with the index theorem (see Millson [M], Singer [S], Barbasch and Moscovici [BM] and Stern [St]). For example, Millson [M] gave the functional equation of the zeta function on a compact oriented $4n - 1$ dimensional Riemannian manifold of constant negative curvature and found a connection between the zeta function and the η -invariant introduced by Atiyah, Patodi and Singer [APS]. The second way is based on some interesting results of Maass and Roelcke. Maass [Ma] studied some very basic partial differential operators connected with nonholomorphic automorphic forms on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$. Roelcke [Ro] gave the spectral theory of these operators (see also the work of Elstrodt [El]). Hejhal [H] obtained the trace formula for the automorphic forms of weight m associated to these operators. Recently, D'Hoker and Phong [DP], Sarnak [Sa], Voros [V], Cartier and Voros [CV] and Kurokawa [Kr] calculated the regularized determinants of these operators, which are crucial in the string theory, and also come up in Ray-Singer's analytic torsion [RS].

In the present paper, motivated by the second way as above (see the book of Hejhal [H] for more details) and the work of Singer [S] and Millson [M], we give the trace formulas of weight k for cocompact, torsion-free discrete subgroups of $SU(2, 1)$ and prove the analogue of the Riemann hypothesis on compact complex surfaces M with $c_1^2(M) = 3c_2(M)$, where $c_i(M)$ is the i -th Chern class of M , $c_2(M)$ is a multiple of 3 and $c_2(M) > 0$.

1.1. Notation and terminology

Let $SU(2, 1) = \{g \in SL(3, \mathbb{C}) : g^* J g = J\}$ where $J = \begin{pmatrix} & & -1 \\ & 1 & \\ -1 & & \end{pmatrix}$. The corresponding complex domain $\mathfrak{S}_2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - z_2 \bar{z}_2 > 0\}$ is the simplest example of a bounded symmetric domain which is not a tube domain. Let us introduce the following differential operator

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta_k = (z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - z_2 \bar{z}_2) \times \left[(z_1 + \bar{z}_1) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial \bar{z}_1} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_2 \partial \bar{z}_2} + z_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}_1 \partial z_2} + \bar{z}_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1 \partial \bar{z}_2} - k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \right) \right],$$

where $2k$ is an integer (see [Y] for more details). In particular, $\Delta = \Delta_0$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator of $SU(2, 1)$ on $\mathfrak{S}_2$.

Let $\Gamma \subset SU(2, 1)$ be a discrete subgroup. Assume that Γ is properly discontinuous, $\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2$ is compact, and Γ acts freely on $\mathfrak{S}_2$. Set $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2$, then M is a compact complex algebraic surface. Such Γ is a uniform, torsion-free discrete subgroup of $SU(2, 1)$. Hence, every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ which is not the identity is hyperbolic. The Jordan canonical form of hyperbolic elements takes the form: $\gamma = \gamma(\mu, \theta) = \text{diag}(\mu e^{i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}, \mu^{-1} e^{i\theta})$, where $\mu > 1$ and $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

An automorphic form of weight $2k$ on $\mathfrak{S}_2$ is given by

$$(1.2) \quad f(\gamma(Z)) = J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} f(Z),$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad J(\gamma, Z) = \frac{j(\gamma, Z)}{|j(\gamma, Z)|} \quad \text{with} \quad j(\gamma, Z) = c_1 z_1 + c_2 z_2 + c_3$$

$$\text{for } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma.$$

A holomorphic function $g(Z)$ is called a modular form of weight k if it satisfies that

$$(1.4) \quad g(\gamma(Z)) = j(\gamma, Z)^k g(Z), \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

In fact, if $g(Z)$ is a modular form of weight $2k$, then $f(Z) = \rho(Z)^k g(Z)$ with $\rho(Z) = z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - z_2 \bar{z}_2$ is an automorphic form of weight $2k$. Moreover,

$$(1.5) \quad \Delta_k f(Z) = k(k-2)f(Z).$$

Conversely, if $f(Z)$ is an automorphic form of weight $2k$, then $g(Z) = \rho(Z)^{-k} f(Z)$ satisfies that

$$g(\gamma(Z)) = j(\gamma, Z)^{2k} g(Z).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the fundamental region (i.e. the fundamental domain) of Γ . Set

$$(1.6) \quad L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k) = \{f \in L^2(\mathcal{F}) : f(\gamma(Z)) = J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} f(Z) \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

We study the spectral theory of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$, and prove the completeness of eigenfunction expansions (see Theorem 2.11, Proposition 2.12, Proposition 2.13 and Theorem 2.14).

Let $\Phi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$ and consider the function $\Phi(u(Z, Z'))$, where $u(Z, Z') = \frac{|\rho(Z, Z')|^2}{\rho(Z)\rho(Z')} - 1$ with $\rho(Z, Z') = \bar{z}_1 + z'_1 - \bar{z}_2 z'_2$, $\rho(Z) = \rho(Z, Z)$ for $Z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathfrak{S}_2$, $Z' = (z'_1, z'_2) \in \mathfrak{S}_2$. In fact, $u(Z, Z')$ is a point-pair invariant, i.e., $u(g(Z), g(Z')) = u(Z, Z')$ for every $g \in SU(2, 1)$ (see [Y] for more details.) Set $H_k(Z, Z') = \frac{\rho(Z, Z')^{2k}}{|\rho(Z, Z')|^{2k}}$. Let $K_k(Z, Z') = H_k(Z, Z')\Phi(u(Z, Z'))$. The integral operator of weight k is given by

$$(1.7) \quad L_k f(Z) = \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k(Z, Z') f(Z') dm(Z').$$

We have (see Theorem 2.5)

$$(1.8) \quad L_k f(Z) = \Lambda_k(\lambda) f(Z),$$

where f is an eigenfunction of Δ_k on $\mathfrak{S}_2$: $\Delta_k f = \lambda f$ and $\Lambda_k(\lambda)$ depends only on λ , k and Φ . A representative set of eigenfunctions is given by $\Delta_k \rho^s = \lambda \rho^s$ with $\lambda = s(s-2)$.

Let $s = 1 + ir$, we will use the r instead of the λ as parameter. Set $h_k(r) = \Lambda_k(-1 - r^2)$. When $k = 0$, the connection between $\Phi(u)$ and $h(r) := h_0(r)$ is given by the relations.

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} P(v) &= \int_v^\infty \Phi(u) \arccos\left(\frac{1-u+2v}{1+u}\right) du, \quad \Phi(u) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{v-u}} d(\sqrt{v+1}P'(v)). \\ P(v) &= g(\eta) \quad \text{with} \quad v = \frac{1}{4}(e^\eta + e^{-\eta} - 2). \\ h(r) &= 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty g(\eta) e^{ir\eta} d\eta, \quad g(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr. \end{aligned}$$

When $k = 1$, the connection between $\Phi(u)$ and $h_1(r)$ is given by

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} P_1(v) &= \int_v^\infty \frac{2\Phi(u)}{u+1} \sqrt{(v+1)(u-v)} du, \quad \Phi(u) = \frac{u+1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{v-u}} d\left[\left(\frac{P_1(v)}{\sqrt{v+1}}\right)'\right]. \\ P_1(v) &= g_1(\eta), \quad \text{with} \quad v = \frac{1}{4}(e^\eta + e^{-\eta} - 2), \\ h_1(r) &= 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty g_1(\eta) e^{ir\eta} d\eta, \quad g_1(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h_1(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr. \end{aligned}$$

When $k = \frac{1}{2}$, the connection between $\Phi(u)$ and $h_{\frac{1}{2}}(r)$ is given by

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_0(v) &= 2 \int_v^\infty \Phi(u) \sqrt{\frac{u-v}{u+1}} du, \quad \Phi(u) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{u+1} \int_u^\infty \frac{dQ'_0(v)}{\sqrt{v-u}}. \\ Q_0(v) &= g_{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta), \quad \text{with} \quad v = \frac{1}{4}(e^\eta + e^{-\eta} - 2), \\ h_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) &= 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty g_{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) e^{ir\eta} d\eta, \quad g_{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr. \end{aligned}$$

The zeta function of weight k associated with the group $\langle \gamma_1 \rangle \times \langle \gamma_2 \rangle$ generated by γ_1 and γ_2 , where $\langle \gamma_1 \rangle$ is an infinite cyclic group, $\langle \gamma_2 \rangle$ is a finite cyclic group, is given by

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &Z_{\langle \gamma_1 \rangle \times \langle \gamma_2 \rangle}(k, s) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^\infty \prod_{l=0}^\infty \prod_{n=0}^\infty \left[1 - N(\gamma_1)^{-(s+j+\frac{l+n}{2})} \right]^{\frac{3}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \exp([2k+3(l-n)]iq\theta(\gamma_2))}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 2$ and $2k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For Γ a uniform, torsion-free discrete subgroup of $SU(2, 1)$, we define

$$(1.13) \quad Z_k(s) = Z_\Gamma(k, s) = \prod_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} Z_{\langle \gamma_1 \rangle \times \langle \gamma_2 \rangle}(k, s)$$

where the pair $\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}$ runs through a set of representatives of primitive conjugacy classes of Γ (see Proposition 2.1 for the details).

1.2. The main results

Note that $c_2(M)$ is equal to the Euler number $e(M) = \sum_{i=0}^4 (-1)^i \dim H^i(M, \mathbb{R})$ since M is compact. Here M is considered as a Riemannian manifold of dimension four. Now, we can state the main theorem in the present paper.

THEOREM 1.1 (MAIN THEOREM 1). *Assume that $h_k(r)$ is an analytic function on $|Im(r)| \leq 1 + \delta$, $h_k(-r) = h_k(r)$ and $|h_k(r)| \leq M[1 + |Re(r)|]^{-4-\delta}$, where δ and M are positive constants. Moreover, suppose that*

$$g_k(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_k(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then the trace formulas of weight k for $SU(2, 1)$ take the following form:

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} Tr(L_k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_k(r_n) = \frac{2}{3} c_2(M) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_k(r) r(r^2 + k^2) \frac{\cosh 2\pi r + \cos 2k\pi}{\sinh 2\pi r} dr + \\ &+ 2\pi \sum_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \frac{3}{ord(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{ord(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln N(\gamma_1)}{[N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}}]} \\ &\times \frac{e^{2k i q \theta(\gamma_2)}}{|N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{2} i q \theta(\gamma_2)} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{2} i q \theta(\gamma_2)}|^2} g_k(m \ln N(\gamma_1)), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_2(M) \geq 3$, $k = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ and $h_0 = h$, $g_0 = g$.

Using the concept of Poincaré map, the trace formulas can be expressed as (see Theorem 3.2):

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} Tr(L_k) &= \frac{2}{3} c_2(M) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_k(r) r(r^2 + k^2) \frac{\cosh 2\pi r + \cos 2k\pi}{\sinh 2\pi r} dr + \\ &+ 2\pi \sum_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \frac{3}{ord(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{ord(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln N(\gamma_1)}{|\det(I - P_{\gamma_1^m \gamma_2^q})|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{2k i q \theta(\gamma_2)} g_k(m \ln N(\gamma_1)). \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that the c -function of Harish-Chandra (see [Ga])

$$c(r)^{-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(p+q))}{\Gamma(p+q)} \frac{\Gamma(ir + \frac{p}{2})}{\Gamma(ir)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{ir}{2} + \frac{p}{4} + \frac{q}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{ir}{2} + \frac{p}{4})}.$$

In the case of $SU(2, 1)$, $p = 2$, $q = 1$, one has

$$(1.16) \quad c(2r)^{-1} c(-2r)^{-1} = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{r^3}{\tanh \pi r}.$$

Up to a constant, it coincides with the value of $r(r^2 + k^2) \frac{\cosh 2\pi r + \cos 2k\pi}{\sinh 2\pi r}$ at $k = 0$. By Theorem 1.1, we can derive the functional equations of the zeta functions $Z_k(s)$. In fact, we conjecture that the above trace formulas are valid for all k with $2k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the case of $k = 0$, $k = \frac{1}{2}$ and $k = 1$, we can write down the trace formulas explicitly. For every k with $2k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an analytic continuation and a functional equation for the zeta function $Z_k(s)$. In particular, we can write down them explicitly for $Z_0(s)$, $Z_{\frac{1}{2}}(s)$ and $Z_1(s)$ according to the weight $k = 0$, $k = \frac{1}{2}$ or $k = 1$, respectively.

THEOREM 1.2 (MAIN THEOREM 2). *The functional equations of the zeta functions of weight k are given by*

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_k(s) &= Z_k(2-s) \exp \left[\frac{8}{3} \pi c_2(M) \int_0^{s-1} v(v^2 - k^2) \cot \pi v dv \right], \quad k = 0, 1; \\ Z_k(s) &= Z_k(2-s) \exp \left[\frac{8}{3} \pi c_2(M) \int_0^{s-1} v(k^2 - v^2) \tan \pi v dv \right], \quad k = \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2$ is a compact complex algebraic surface with $c_1^2(M) = 3c_2(M)$.

The zeros of zeta functions $Z_k(s)$ ($k = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$) have the following properties. In particular, the last property tells us that the analogue of the Riemann hypothesis is true.

THEOREM 1.3 (MAIN THEOREM 3). *Let*

$$Z_k(s) = \prod_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[1 - N(\gamma_1)^{-s-j-\frac{l+n}{2}} \right]^{\frac{3}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \exp([2k+3(l-n)]iq\theta(\gamma_2))}$$

where $\text{Re}(s) > 2$ and $k = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$. Then

- (1) $Z_k(s)$ are actually entire functions.
- (2) $Z_k(s)$ ($k = 0, 1$) have trivial zeros $s = -m$, $m \geq 0$, with multiplicity $\frac{8}{3}c_2(M)(m+1)^3$; $Z_k(s)$ ($k = \frac{1}{2}$) has trivial zeros $s = -m + \frac{1}{2}$, $m \geq 0$, with multiplicity $\frac{4}{3}c_2(M)m(m+1)(2m+1)$.
- (3) The nontrivial zeros of $Z_k(s)$ are located at $1 \pm ir_n$.

It is interesting that we can deduce that $c_2(M)$ is a multiple of 3 by (2) of Theorem 1.3. Thus $c_2(M) \geq 3$, which is the first main theorem in [HP].

This paper consists of three chapters. In chapter two, we study the spectral theory of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$, and prove the completeness of eigenfunction expansions. We give the integral transformations and their inverse which are related to the group $SU(2, 1)$ and the symmetric space $\mathfrak{S}_2$. These transformations play an important role in the trace formulas. In chapter three, we obtain the trace formulas of weight k on $SU(2, 1)$ for cocompact, torsion-free discrete subgroups. In the rest of this chapter, we give the definition of the zeta functions of weight k and their expansions. Using the trace formulas,

we get the functional equations of the zeta functions of weight k . At last, we prove the analogue of the Riemann hypothesis for a class of compact complex surfaces.

2. The spectral theory of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$

2.1. The construction of hyperbolic elements

At first, let us recall some basic results about the discrete subgroups of Lie groups (see [R]). Let G be a connected Lie group and Γ a discrete subgroup such that G/Γ is compact. Then Γ is finitely presentable (see [R], p.95, Theorem 6.15). In fact, let M be a compact connected manifold. Then the fundamental group $\pi_1(M, x)$ of M at a point $x \in M$ is finitely presentable (see [R], p.95, Theorem 6.16). Hence, the uniform torsion-free subgroup $\Gamma \subset SU(2, 1)$ is finitely presentable. If $\Gamma \subset G$ is a uniform, torsion-free discrete subgroup then every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ which is not the identity is hyperbolic. Now, let us recall the classifications of linear transformations in $SU(2, 1)$ (see [G]). There are four classes: the identity element, the hyperbolic elements, the elliptic elements and the parabolic elements. In the ball model, the hyperbolic elements take the form:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} \cosh u & 0 & e^{i\theta} \sinh u \\ 0 & e^{-2i\theta} & 0 \\ e^{i\theta} \sinh u & 0 & e^{i\theta} \cosh u \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we give the expression of hyperbolic elements in the unbounded realization $\mathfrak{S}_2$. By the Cayley transformation $C : \mathbb{B}^2 = \{(w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2 < 1\} \rightarrow$

$\mathfrak{S}_2 = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - z_2 \bar{z}_2 > 0\}$, where $C = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{w} & -w \\ 1 & \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $C(w_1, w_2) =$

$\left(\frac{-\bar{w}w_1 - w}{-w_1 + 1}, \frac{w_2}{-w_1 + 1}\right)$ and $C^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \omega \\ 1 & -\bar{\omega} \end{pmatrix}$, $C^{-1}(z_1, z_2) = \left(\frac{z_1 + \omega}{z_1 - \bar{\omega}}, \frac{z_2}{z_1 - \bar{\omega}}\right)$, we have

$$g = CTC^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e^u e^{i\theta} & (\omega - \bar{\omega}) \sinh u \cdot e^{i\theta} \\ 0 & e^{-2i\theta} \\ & e^{-u} e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2, 1),$$

where $SU(2, 1) = \{g \in SL(3, \mathbb{C}) : g^* J g = J\}$ with $J = \begin{pmatrix} & -1 \\ & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix}$. The charac-

teristic polynomial of g is $\det(\lambda I - g) = (\lambda - e^u e^{i\theta})(\lambda - e^{-2i\theta})(\lambda - e^{-u} e^{i\theta})$. Hence, the Jordan canonical form of g takes the form $\gamma = \text{diag}(e^u e^{i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}, e^{-u} e^{i\theta}) \in SU(2, 1)$. Let

$h = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i \bar{\alpha}^{-1} \\ \beta & \\ & \bar{\alpha}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\beta| = 1$ and $\alpha \beta \bar{\alpha}^{-1} = 1$. Then $h \in SU(2, 1)$

and $h^{-1}gh = \gamma$, i.e., g is conjugate in $SU(2, 1)$ to γ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that $u > 0$. Set $\mu = e^u$, then $\mu > 1$ and $\gamma = \text{diag}(\mu e^{i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}, \mu^{-1}e^{i\theta})$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Suppose that $\gamma = \text{diag}(\mu e^{i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}, \mu^{-1}e^{i\theta}) \in \Gamma$ is hyperbolic. Then the centralizer $Z(\gamma)$ is a direct product of two cyclic subgroups of Γ , $Z(\gamma) = \langle \gamma_1 \rangle \times \langle \gamma_2 \rangle$, where $\gamma_1 = \text{diag}(r_0, 1, r_0^{-1})$ ($r_0 > 1$) is hyperbolic and $\gamma_2 = \text{diag}(e^{i\varphi_0}, e^{-2i\varphi_0}, e^{i\varphi_0})$ with order $\text{ord}(\gamma_2)$ and $\varphi_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)}$. Moreover, $\gamma = \gamma_1^m \gamma_2^n$ with $m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq n \leq \text{ord}(\gamma_2)$. The two generators γ_1, γ_2 are uniquely determined by γ .*

Proof. If $g \in Z(\gamma)$, then g has the form $g = \text{diag}(re^{i\varphi}, e^{-2i\varphi}, r^{-1}e^{i\varphi})$ with $r > 0$. Note that Γ is discontinuous, so $Z(\gamma) = \langle \gamma_1 \rangle \times \langle \gamma_2 \rangle$, i.e., $g = \gamma_1^m \gamma_2^n$, $m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq n \leq \text{ord}(\gamma_2)$. The uniqueness of γ_1 and γ_2 is proved by the same argument since $m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq n \leq \text{ord}(\gamma_2)$. □

$N(\gamma_1) := r_0^2$ in Proposition 2.1 is the minimal norm of a hyperbolic element from $Z(\gamma)$. Following Selberg [Se], we call γ_1 a primitive element for γ in Γ . The pair (γ_1, γ_2) in Proposition 2.1 is called a primitive pair for γ in Γ .

2.2. The basic integral operator of weight 0

Put

$$(2.1) \quad Lf(Z) = \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} k(Z, Z') f(Z') dm(Z').$$

Let $f(Z)$ be an eigenfunction of the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on $\mathfrak{S}_2$: $\Delta f(Z) = \lambda f(Z)$. According to [Se] (or see Theorem 2.5 in the special case $k = 0$), for an integral operator we may write

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} k(Z, Z') f(Z') dm(Z') = \Lambda(\lambda) f(Z),$$

where $\Lambda(\lambda)$ depends only on λ and k . A representative set of eigenfunctions is given by $\rho(Z)^s$ since $\Delta \rho(Z)^s = \lambda \rho(Z)^s$ with $\lambda = s(s-2)$. Set $s = 1 + ir$ with $r \in \mathbb{C}$, then $s(s-2) = -1 - r^2$. Let $h(r) = \Lambda(-1 - r^2)$. The connection between $\Phi(u)$ and $h(r)$ is given by the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $\Phi(u) \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a positive function of real variable. Set*

$$(2.3) \quad P(v) = \int_v^\infty \Phi(u) \arccos\left(\frac{1-u+2v}{1+u}\right) du.$$

Moreover, define $g(\eta)$ by $P(v) = g(\eta)$ with $v = \frac{1}{4}(e^\eta + e^{-\eta} - 2)$. Then one has the following integral transform

$$(2.4) \quad h(r) = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty g(\eta) e^{ir\eta} d\eta, \quad g(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr,$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi(u) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{v-u}} d(\sqrt{v+1}P'(v)).$$

Proof. Let us start with the formula:

$$\int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} k(Z_0, Z) \rho(Z)^s dm(Z) = \Lambda(\lambda) \rho(Z_0)^s, \quad \lambda = s(s-2).$$

Let $Z_0 = (\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and $Z = (z_1, z_2) = (\frac{1}{2}(\rho + |z|^2) + it, z)$, where $\rho > 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\rho(Z_0) = 1$, $|\rho(Z_0, Z)|^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + \rho + |z|^2)^2 + t^2$. Thus

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi\left(\frac{\frac{1}{4}(1 + \rho + |z|^2)^2 + t^2}{\rho} - 1\right) dz d\bar{z} dt \rho^{s-3} d\rho = \Lambda(\lambda).$$

Let $z = \sqrt{r}e^{i\theta}$, $r > 0$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, then $dz \wedge d\bar{z} = -idr \wedge d\theta$ and

$$4\pi \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \Phi\left(\frac{\frac{1}{4}(1 + \rho + r)^2 + t^2}{\rho} - 1\right) dr dt \rho^{s-3} d\rho = \Lambda(\lambda).$$

Set $\xi = \frac{\frac{1}{4}(1 + \rho + r)^2}{\rho} - 1$, $\eta = \frac{t^2}{\rho}$. Then $\xi \in [\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}, \infty)$, $\eta \in [0, \infty)$ and $dr = \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\xi+1}} d\xi$, $dt = \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{2\sqrt{\eta}} d\eta$. We have

$$2\pi \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^\infty \Phi(\xi + \eta) \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi+1}} \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{\eta}} \rho^{s-2} d\rho = \Lambda(\lambda).$$

Put $w = \xi + \eta$, then

$$2\pi \int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^\infty \int_\xi^\infty \Phi(w) \frac{dw}{\sqrt{w-\xi}} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi+1}} \rho^{s-2} d\rho = \Lambda(\lambda).$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^\infty \int_\xi^\infty \Phi(w) \frac{dw}{\sqrt{w-\xi}} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi+1}} \\ &= \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^\infty \Phi(w) dw \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^w \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{(w-\xi)(\xi+1)}} \\ &= \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^\infty \Phi(w) \arccos\left(\frac{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{2\rho} - w + 1}{w + 1}\right) dw = P\left(\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\Lambda[s(s-2)] = 2\pi \int_0^\infty \rho^{s-2} P\left(\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}\right) d\rho.$$

Set $s = 1 + ir$ and $g(\eta) = P(\frac{1}{4}(e^\eta + e^{-\eta} - 2))$, then $\Lambda(\lambda) = \Lambda(-1 - r^2) = h(r)$. On the other hand, let $\rho = e^\eta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \int_0^\infty \rho^{s-2} P\left(\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}\right) d\rho &= 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{(s-2)\eta} P\left(\frac{1}{4}(e^\eta + e^{-\eta} - 2)\right) e^\eta d\eta \\ &= 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{(s-1)\eta} g(\eta) d\eta = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{ir\eta} g(\eta) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $h(r) = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{ir\eta} g(\eta) d\eta$. So, $g(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr$. By

$$P(v) = \int_v^\infty \Phi(u) \arccos\left(\frac{1-u+2v}{1+u}\right) du,$$

we have

$$P'(v) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{v+1}} \int_v^\infty \frac{\Phi(u)}{\sqrt{u-v}} du.$$

Set $Q(v) = -\sqrt{v+1}P'(v)$, then $Q(v) = \int_v^\infty \frac{\Phi(u)}{\sqrt{u-v}} du$. By [Ku], p.56, Theorem 5.3.1 or [H], p.15, Proposition 4.1, we have $\Phi(u) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty \frac{dQ(v)}{\sqrt{v-u}}$. Thus,

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{v-u}} d(\sqrt{v+1}P'(v)).$$

□

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $k(Z, Z')$ be a point pair invariant as in Theorem 2.2, and put*

$$n(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & \frac{|a|^2}{2} + ib \\ & 1 & \bar{a} \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} k(Z, n(a, b)Z') da d\bar{a} db = 2\pi \rho \rho' g(\log \rho' - \log \rho),$$

where $\rho = \rho(Z)$ and $\rho' = \rho(Z')$ and g is as in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. Let

$$n = n(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & \frac{|a|^2}{2} + ib \\ & 1 & \bar{a} \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{R},$$

then

$$n(a, b)(Z') = (z'_1 + az'_2 + \frac{|a|^2}{2} + ib, z'_2 + \bar{a}).$$

So

$$\rho(Z, n(a, b)Z') = \rho(Z, Z') + az'_2 - \overline{az'_2} + \frac{|a|^2}{2} + ib.$$

Set $Z = (\frac{\rho + |z|^2}{2} + it, z)$ and $Z' = (\frac{\rho' + |z'|^2}{2} + it', z')$, then

$$\rho(Z, Z') = \frac{1}{2}(\rho + \rho' + |z - z'|^2) + i(t' - t + \text{Im}z\bar{z}').$$

Put $a = u + iv$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, $z = x + iy$ and $z' = x' + iy'$, then $da \wedge d\bar{a} = -2idu \wedge dv$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(Z, n(a, b)Z') &= \frac{1}{2}[\rho + \rho' + (u + x' - x)^2 + (v + y - y')^2] \\ &\quad + i[v(x + x') + u(y + y') + t' - t + \text{Im}z\bar{z}' + b]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} k(Z, n(a, b)Z') da d\bar{a} db \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi \left(\frac{|\frac{1}{2}(\rho + \rho' + u^2 + v^2) + ib|^2}{\rho\rho'} - 1 \right) du dv db \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \Phi \left(\frac{|\frac{1}{2}(\rho + \rho' + r^2) + ib|^2}{\rho\rho'} - 1 \right) r dr d\theta db \\ &= 2\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^\infty \Phi \left(\frac{|\frac{1}{2}(\rho + \rho' + r) + ib|^2}{\rho\rho'} - 1 \right) dr db \\ &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \int_{\rho+\rho'}^\infty \Phi \left(\frac{\frac{1}{4}r^2 + b^2}{\rho\rho'} - 1 \right) dr db. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t = \frac{b^2}{\rho\rho'}$ and $u = \frac{r^2}{4\rho\rho'}$, then

$$db = \frac{\sqrt{\rho\rho'}}{2\sqrt{t}} dt, \quad dr = \frac{\sqrt{\rho\rho'}}{\sqrt{u}} du.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} k(Z, n(a, b)Z') da d\bar{a} db \\
&= 2\pi\rho\rho' \int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{(\rho+\rho')^2}{4\rho\rho'}}^\infty \Phi(u+t-1) \frac{du}{\sqrt{u}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \\
&= 2\pi\rho\rho' \int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{(\rho-\rho')^2}{4\rho\rho'}}^\infty \Phi(v+t) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{v+1}} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set $\rho'/\rho = e^\eta$, then $\frac{(\rho-\rho')^2}{4\rho\rho'} = \sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} k(Z, n(a, b)Z') da d\bar{a} db \\
&= 2\pi\rho\rho' \int_{\sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}}^\infty \int_v^\infty \Phi(u) \frac{du}{\sqrt{u-v}} \frac{dv}{\sqrt{v+1}} \\
&= 2\pi\rho\rho' \int_{\sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}}^\infty \Phi(u) du \int_{\sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}}^u \frac{dv}{\sqrt{(u-v)(v+1)}} \\
&= 2\pi\rho\rho' \int_{\sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}}^\infty \Phi(u) \arccos \left(\frac{1-u+2\sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}}{1+u} \right) du \\
&= 2\pi\rho\rho' P(\sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}) = 2\pi\rho\rho' g(\eta) = 2\pi\rho\rho' g(\log \rho' - \log \rho).
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.3. The basic integral operator of weight k

Now, we give the integral transformation of weight k . Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the fundamental region (i.e. the fundamental domain) of Γ . Since Γ is a cocompact discrete subgroup of $SU(2, 1)$, $\mathcal{F}$ is compact. Set

$$H_k(Z, W) = \frac{\rho(Z, W)^{2k}}{|\rho(Z, W)|^{2k}},$$

where $\rho(Z, W) = \bar{z}_1 + w_1 - \bar{z}_2 w_2$. Let

$$K_k(Z, W) = H_k(Z, W) \Phi \left(\frac{|\rho(Z, W)|^2}{\rho(Z)\rho(W)} - 1 \right).$$

Definition 2.4. An automorphic form of weight $2k$ on $\mathfrak{S}_2$ is given by

$$(2.7) \quad f(\gamma(Z)) = J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} f(Z),$$

where $J(\gamma, Z) = \frac{j(\gamma, Z)}{|j(\gamma, Z)|}$ with $j(\gamma, Z) = c_1 z_1 + c_2 z_2 + c_3$ for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$.

Let

$$L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k) = \{f \in L^2(\mathcal{F}) : f(\gamma(Z)) = J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} f(Z) \text{ for } \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

Following the method in [H] (see [H], pp.364-369, Proposition 2.14), we give the next theorem.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $f \in C^2(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ be any eigenfunction of Δ_k : $\Delta_k f = \lambda f$. Then the integral operator of weight k*

$$(2.8) \quad L_k f(Z) := \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k(Z, W) f(W) dm(W) = \Lambda_k(\lambda) f(Z),$$

where $\Lambda_k(\lambda)$ depends only on (Φ, k, λ) . The value of $\Lambda_k(\lambda)$ is independent of f .

Proof. We define the operator P_γ by

$$(2.9) \quad (P_\gamma u)(Z) = u(\gamma(Z)) J(\gamma, Z)^{-2k}, \quad \gamma \in SU(2, 1).$$

It is known that (see [Y], Proposition 4.2) $\Delta_k P_\gamma = P_\gamma \Delta_k$. Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of $SU(2, 1)$. Every $\gamma \in K$ can be given by

$$\gamma = C \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} C^{-1},$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in U(2)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $(ad - bc)e^{i\theta} = 1$. Write explicitly,

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\omega}a - \omega e^{i\theta} & -\bar{\omega}b & -a + e^{i\theta} \\ c & d & \omega c \\ -a + e^{i\theta} & -b & -\omega a - \bar{\omega} e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $\gamma(-\omega, 0) = (-\omega, 0)$.

We now claim that without loss of generality $Z = (-\omega, 0)$. Suppose, in fact, that $Z = (-\omega, 0)$ is OK. For general Z , we write $Z = \gamma(-\omega, 0)$ and check that (using [Y],

Proposition 4.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k(Z, W) f(W) dm(W) \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k(\gamma(-\omega, 0), W) f(W) dm(W) \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k(\gamma(-\omega, 0), \gamma(\xi)) f(\gamma(\xi)) dm(\xi) \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} J(\gamma, (-\omega, 0))^{2k} K_k((- \omega, 0), \xi) J(\gamma, \xi)^{-2k} f(\gamma(\xi)) dm(\xi) \\
&= J(\gamma, (-\omega, 0))^{2k} \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k((- \omega, 0), \xi) P_\gamma f(\xi) dm(\xi) \\
&= J(\gamma, (-\omega, 0))^{2k} \Lambda_k(\lambda)(P_\gamma f)(-\omega, 0) \\
&= \Lambda_k(\lambda) f[\gamma(-\omega, 0)] = \Lambda_k(\lambda) f(Z),
\end{aligned}$$

since $\Delta_k P_\gamma f = P_\gamma \Delta_k f = \lambda(P_\gamma f)$.

We may restrict ourselves to the case $Z = (-\omega, 0)$. We claim that without loss of generality

$$P_\gamma f = P_{\gamma(\theta)} f = e^{-2ki\theta} f, \quad \gamma \in K.$$

Suppose, in fact, that the result is known to be true for such symmetric f . We then take the original eigenfunction f and form

$$A = \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k((- \omega, 0), W) f(W) dm(W).$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k(\gamma(-\omega, 0), W) f(W) dm(W) \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k(\gamma(-\omega, 0), \gamma(\xi)) f(\gamma(\xi)) dm(\xi) \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} J(\gamma, (-\omega, 0))^{2k} K_k((- \omega, 0), \xi) J(\gamma, \xi)^{-2k} f(\gamma(\xi)) dm(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$J(\gamma, (-\omega, 0)) = \frac{(-a + e^{i\theta})(-\omega) + (-\omega a - \bar{\omega} e^{i\theta})}{|(-a + e^{i\theta})(-\omega) + (-\omega a - \bar{\omega} e^{i\theta})|} = e^{i\theta}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} e^{2ki\theta} K_k((-\omega, 0), \xi) P_{\gamma(\theta)} f(\xi) dm(\xi) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k((-\omega, 0), \xi) P_{\gamma(\theta)} f(\xi) e^{2ki\theta} dm(\xi) d\theta \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k((-\omega, 0), \xi) F(\xi) dm(\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_{\gamma(\theta)} f(\xi) e^{2ki\theta} d\theta.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(P_{\gamma_1} P_{\gamma_2} u)(Z) &= P_{\gamma_1}(u(\gamma_2(Z)) J(\gamma_2, Z)^{-2k}) \\
&= u(\gamma_2(\gamma_1(Z))) J(\gamma_2, \gamma_1(Z))^{-2k} J(\gamma_1, Z)^{-2k} \\
&= u(\gamma_2 \gamma_1(Z)) J(\gamma_2 \gamma_1, Z)^{-2k} \\
&= (P_{\gamma_2 \gamma_1} u)(Z).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$P_{\gamma(\varphi)} F(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_{\gamma(\theta)\gamma(\varphi)} f(\xi) e^{2ki\theta} d\theta.$$

Here,

$$\gamma(\theta)\gamma(\varphi) = C \begin{pmatrix} * & \\ & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} C^{-1} \cdot C \begin{pmatrix} * & \\ & e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} C^{-1} = C \begin{pmatrix} * & \\ & e^{i(\theta+\varphi)} \end{pmatrix} C^{-1} = \gamma(\theta + \varphi).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\gamma(\varphi)} F(\xi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_{\gamma(\theta+\varphi)} f(\xi) e^{2ki\theta} d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\varphi}^{2\pi+\varphi} P_{\gamma(\tau)} f(\xi) e^{2ki(\tau-\varphi)} d\tau \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_{\gamma(\tau)} f(\xi) e^{2ki(\tau-\varphi)} d\tau \\
&= e^{-2ki\varphi} F(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $F \in C^2(\mathfrak{S}_2)$ and $F(-\omega, 0) = f(-\omega, 0)$. By $\Delta_k P_\gamma = P_\gamma \Delta_k$, we see that $\Delta_k F = \lambda F$.

We can apply the symmetric case to deduce that

$$A = \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k((-\omega, 0), \xi) F(\xi) dm(\xi) = \Lambda_k(\lambda) F(-\omega, 0) = \Lambda_k(\lambda) f(-\omega, 0).$$

We now restrict not only to $Z = (-\omega, 0)$, but also to the symmetric case $P_{\gamma(\theta)}f = e^{-2ki\theta}f$. We introduce a change of variables:

$$W = C^{-1}(Z) = \left(\frac{z_1 + \omega}{z_1 - \bar{\omega}}, \frac{z_2}{z_1 - \bar{\omega}} \right); Z = C(W) = \left(\frac{-\bar{\omega}w_1 - \omega}{-w_1 + 1}, \frac{w_2}{-w_1 + 1} \right),$$

where $Z \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ and $W \in \mathbb{B}^2$. By $u(Z) = v(W)$, one defines the operator $\widetilde{\Delta}_k$: $\Delta_k u = \widetilde{\Delta}_k v$.

The following equations describe the basic symmetry of weight k :

$$\begin{aligned} u[\gamma(\theta)(Z)]J(\gamma(\theta), Z)^{-2k} &= e^{-2ki\theta}u(Z), \\ v[C^{-1}\gamma(\theta)(Z)]J(\gamma(\theta), Z)^{-2k} &= e^{-2ki\theta}v(W), \\ v[C^{-1}\gamma(\theta)C(W)]J(\gamma(\theta), Z)^{-2k} &= e^{-2ki\theta}v(W). \end{aligned}$$

Here $C^{-1}\gamma(\theta)C = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \\ & & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$. We have

$$v \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \\ & & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} (w_1, w_2) \right] \frac{[(-a + e^{i\theta})z_1 - bz_2 - \omega a - \bar{\omega}e^{i\theta}]^{-2k}}{|\text{same}|^{-2k}} = e^{-2ki\theta}v(w_1, w_2),$$

Using the expressions $z_1 = \frac{-\bar{\omega}w_1 - \omega}{-w_1 + 1}$ and $z_2 = \frac{w_2}{-w_1 + 1}$, we have

$$v(e^{-i\theta}(aw_1 + bw_2), e^{-i\theta}(cw_1 + dw_2)) \frac{|1 - e^{-i\theta}(aw_1 + bw_2)|^{2k}}{[1 - e^{-i\theta}(aw_1 + bw_2)]^{2k}} = v(w_1, w_2) \frac{|1 - w_1|^{2k}}{(1 - w_1)^{2k}}.$$

This implies that $v(w_1, w_2)(1 - w_1)^{-k}(1 - \bar{w}_1)^k$ must be radially symmetric. We shall therefore write

$$u(Z) = v(W) = \psi(W)(1 - w_1)^k(1 - \bar{w}_1)^{-k} = \psi(W)(1 - w_1)^\alpha(1 - \bar{w}_1)^\beta.$$

Corresponding to this, we define $\Delta_k u = \widetilde{\Delta}_k v = \widetilde{\widetilde{\Delta}}_k \psi$. The associated change of variables is very well-behaved analytically.

In our previous paper [Y], the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathbb{B}^2$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} &= (1 - |w_1|^2 - |w_2|^2) \\ &\times \left[(1 - |w_1|^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1 \partial \bar{w}_1} + (1 - |w_2|^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_2 \partial \bar{w}_2} - w_1 \bar{w}_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1 \partial \bar{w}_2} - \bar{w}_1 w_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{w}_1 \partial w_2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that near the origin

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta}_k \psi = & A_{1,1} \psi_{w_1, \overline{w_1}} + A_{1,2} \psi_{w_1, \overline{w_2}} + A_{2,1} \psi_{w_2, \overline{w_1}} + A_{2,2} \psi_{w_2, \overline{w_2}} \\ & + B_1 \psi_{w_1} + C_1 \psi_{\overline{w_1}} + B_2 \psi_{w_2} + C_2 \psi_{\overline{w_2}} + D \psi \end{aligned}$$

with real analytic coefficients and $A_{1,1}(0, 0; 0, 0) \neq 0$, $A_{2,2}(0, 0; 0, 0) \neq 0$, where $A_{i,j} = A_{i,j}(w_1, \overline{w_1}; w_2, \overline{w_2})$, $B_j = B_j(w_1, \overline{w_1}; w_2, \overline{w_2})$, $C_j = C_j(w_1, \overline{w_1}; w_2, \overline{w_2})$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ and $D = D(w_1, \overline{w_1}; w_2, \overline{w_2})$.

Now, we must study the differential equation

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_k \psi = \lambda \psi (1 - w_1)^\alpha (1 - \overline{w_1})^\beta,$$

along the various rays $\arg(w_1) = \theta$. Now, we introduce polar coordinates $w_1 = r e^{i\theta_1} \cos \varphi$ and $w_2 = r e^{i\theta_2} \sin \varphi$ with $\varphi \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} = & \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\theta_1} \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{e^{-i\theta_1}}{r \cos \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} - \frac{e^{-i\theta_1}}{2r} \sin \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial w_2} = & \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\theta_2} \sin \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{e^{-i\theta_2}}{r \sin \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} + \frac{e^{-i\theta_2}}{2r} \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad \Delta = & (1 - r^2) \left[\frac{1}{4} (1 - r^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{3 - r^2}{4r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{4r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{1}{2r^2} \cot 2\varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{4r^2} \left(\frac{1}{\cos^2 \varphi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \varphi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_2^2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If ψ is radially symmetric, we will get a θ_1 -family of homogeneous linear ordinary differential equation with regular singular point $r = 0$. The indicial equation is $\nu(\nu + 2) = 0$ for each θ_1 . The general theory of differential equations tells us that ψ is unique up to a proportionality factor whenever ψ is known to be smooth at the origin. In our case, we conclude that $f(z_1, z_2) = f(-\omega, 0)g(z_1, z_2)$, where the eigenfunction $g(z_1, z_2)$ is symmetric and normalized by $g(-\omega, 0) = 1$. The function $g(z_1, z_2)$ depends only on (k, λ) . Hence, we can define

$$\Lambda_k(\lambda) = \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k((-\omega, 0), W) g(W) dm(W).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5. □

THEOREM 2.6. Let $\Phi(u) \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a positive function of real variable. Set

$$(2.12) \quad P_1(v) = \int_v^\infty \frac{2\Phi(u)}{u+1} \sqrt{(v+1)(u-v)} du.$$

Moreover, define $g_1(\eta)$ by $P_1(v) = g_1(\eta)$, with $v = \frac{1}{4}(e^\eta + e^{-\eta} - 2)$. Then one has the following integral transform

$$h_1(r) = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty g_1(\eta) e^{ir\eta} d\eta, \quad g_1(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h_1(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr,$$

and

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{u+1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{v-u}} d \left[\left(\frac{P_1(v)}{\sqrt{v+1}} \right)' \right].$$

Set

$$(2.13) \quad Q_0(v) = 2 \int_v^\infty \Phi(u) \sqrt{\frac{u-v}{u+1}} du.$$

Moreover, define $g_{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)$ by $Q_0(v) = g_{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)$, with $v = \frac{1}{4}(e^\eta + e^{-\eta} - 2)$. Then one has the following integral transform

$$h_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty g_{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) e^{ir\eta} d\eta, \quad g_{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr,$$

and

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{u+1} \int_u^\infty \frac{dQ'_0(v)}{\sqrt{v-u}}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.5,

$$(2.14) \quad \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k(Z, W) \rho(W)^s dm(W) = \Lambda_k(\lambda) \rho(Z)^s, \quad \lambda = s(s-2).$$

Set $Z_0 = (\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ and $Z = (z_1, z_2) = (\frac{1}{2}(\rho + |z|^2) + it, z)$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_k(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} H_k((\tfrac{1}{2}, 0), (z_1, z_2)) \Phi \left[\frac{|\frac{1}{2} + z_1|^2}{\rho(Z)} - 1 \right] \rho(Z)^s dm(Z) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \frac{[\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho + |z|^2) + it]^{2k}}{[\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho + |z|^2) + it]^{2k}} \Phi \left[\frac{\frac{1}{4}(1 + \rho + |z|^2)^2 + t^2}{\rho} - 1 \right] \rho^s \frac{dtd\rho dz d\bar{z}}{\rho^3} \\ &= \pi \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{[(1 + \rho + r) + it]^{2k}}{[(1 + \rho + r) + it]^{2k}} \Phi \left[\frac{(1 + \rho + r)^2 + t^2}{4\rho} - 1 \right] \rho^{s-3} dr dt d\rho \\ &= \pi \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{2k} \binom{2k}{m} (1 + \rho + r)^m i^{2k-m} t^{2k-m}}{[(1 + \rho + r)^2 + t^2]^k} \\ &\quad \times \Phi \left[\frac{(1 + \rho + r)^2 + t^2}{4\rho} - 1 \right] \rho^{s-3} dr dt d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

The contribution from the odd powers of t is zero. When $2k$ is even,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_k(\lambda) = & \pi(-1)^k \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (1+\rho+r)^{2m} i^{-2m} t^{2k-2m}}{[(1+\rho+r)^2 + t^2]^k} \\ & \times \Phi \left[\frac{(1+\rho+r)^2 + t^2}{4\rho} - 1 \right] \rho^{s-3} dr dt d\rho.\end{aligned}$$

Set $u^2 = \frac{t^2}{4\rho}$ and $v = \frac{(r+\rho+1)^2}{4\rho} - 1$, then $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $v \in [\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}, \infty)$. We have $dt = 2\sqrt{\rho} du$ and $dr = \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{v+1}} dv$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_k(\lambda) = & 2\pi(-1)^k \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (-1)^m (v+1)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} u^{2(k-m)} \\ & \times (u^2 + v + 1)^{-k} \Phi(u^2 + v) \rho^{s-2} dv du d\rho \\ = & 4\pi(-1)^k \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (-1)^m (v+1)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} u^{2(k-m)} \\ & \times (u^2 + v + 1)^{-k} \Phi(u^2 + v) \rho^{s-2} dv du d\rho.\end{aligned}$$

Let $w = u^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_k(\lambda) = & 2\pi(-1)^k \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (-1)^m (v+1)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} w^{k-m-\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times (w + v + 1)^{-k} \Phi(w + v) \rho^{s-2} dv dw d\rho.\end{aligned}$$

Set $u = w + v$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_k(\lambda) = & 2\pi(-1)^k \int_0^\infty \rho^{s-2} d\rho \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^\infty \int_v^\infty \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (-1)^m \\ & \times (v+1)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} (u-v)^{k-m-\frac{1}{2}} (u+1)^{-k} \Phi(u) du dv \\ = & 2\pi(-1)^k \int_0^\infty \rho^{s-2} d\rho \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (-1)^m \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^\infty \Phi(u) \\ & \times (u+1)^{-k} du \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^u (v+1)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} (u-v)^{k-m-\frac{1}{2}} dv.\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$P_k(w) = (-1)^k \int_w^\infty \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (-1)^m \Phi(u) (u+1)^{-k} du \int_w^u (v+1)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} (u-v)^{k-m-\frac{1}{2}} dv.$$

When $2k$ is odd, say that $2k = 2p + 1$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_k(\lambda) &= 2\pi(-1)^p \int_0^\infty \rho^{s-2} d\rho \sum_{l=0}^p \binom{2p+1}{2l+1} (-1)^l \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^\infty \Phi(u) \\ &\quad \times (u+1)^{-p-\frac{1}{2}} du \int_{\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}}^u (v+1)^l (u-v)^{p-l-\frac{1}{2}} dv. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} P_k(w) &= Q_p(w) \\ &= (-1)^p \int_w^\infty \sum_{l=0}^p \binom{2p+1}{2l+1} (-1)^l \Phi(u) (u+1)^{-p-\frac{1}{2}} du \int_w^u (v+1)^l (u-v)^{p-l-\frac{1}{2}} dv. \end{aligned}$$

For $2k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we conclude that

$$\Lambda_k[s(s-2)] = 2\pi \int_0^\infty \rho^{s-2} P_k\left(\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{4\rho}\right) d\rho.$$

Set $s = 1 + ir$ and $g_k(\eta) = P_k\left(\frac{1}{4}(e^\eta + e^{-\eta} - 2)\right)$ with $\rho = e^\eta$. Consequently,

$$h_k(r) = \Lambda_k(-1 - r^2) = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{ir\eta} g_k(\eta) d\eta, \quad r \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then $g_k(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h_k(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr$.

In fact, when k is a positive integer,

$$P_k(w) = \int_w^\infty \Phi(u) (u+1)^{-k} du \int_w^u \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^m \binom{2k}{2m} (v+1)^{k-m-\frac{1}{2}} (u-v)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} dv.$$

We have

$$P'_k(w) = \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{m+1} \binom{2k}{2m} (w+1)^{k-m-\frac{1}{2}} \int_w^\infty \Phi(u) (u+1)^{-k} (u-w)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} du.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} P'_k(v) &= \int_v^\infty \frac{\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (u-v)^m (-1)^m (v+1)^{k-m}}{(u+1)^k} \frac{-\Phi(u)}{\sqrt{(u-v)(v+1)}} du \\ &= \int_v^\infty \frac{\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (u-v)^m (-v-1)^{k-m}}{(-u-1)^k} \frac{-\Phi(u)}{\sqrt{(u-v)(v+1)}} du. \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
P'_k(v) &= \int_v^\infty \frac{\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (u-v)^m [(u-v) + (-u-1)]^{k-m}}{(-u-1)^k} \frac{-\Phi(u)}{\sqrt{(u-v)(v+1)}} du \\
&= \int_v^\infty \frac{\sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{k-m} \binom{2k}{2m} \binom{k-m}{j} (u-v)^m (u-v)^{k-m-j} (-u-1)^j}{(-u-1)^k} \\
&\quad \times \frac{-\Phi(u)}{\sqrt{(u-v)(v+1)}} du \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^{k-m} \binom{2k}{2m} \binom{k-m}{j} \int_v^\infty \frac{(u-v)^{k-j}}{(-u-1)^{k-j}} \frac{-\Phi(u)}{\sqrt{(u-v)(v+1)}} du \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^k c_j(k) \int_v^\infty \frac{(u-v)^{k-j}}{(-u-1)^{k-j}} \frac{-\Phi(u)}{\sqrt{(u-v)(v+1)}} du,
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_j(k) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-j} \binom{2k}{2m} \binom{k-m}{j}$.

Now, we need the following lemma (see [H], p.396, Lemma 4.5):

LEMMA. Suppose that $\theta(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and that $\theta(t) = O((t+1)^{-\beta})$ for some $\beta > k + \frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$\int_x^\infty (t-x)^{k-\frac{1}{2}} \theta(t) dt = k! \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k} \int_x^\infty \frac{\theta^{(-k)}(t)}{\sqrt{t-x}} dt.$$

It is understood here that k is a non-negative integer, $x \geq 0$ and the antiderivative $\theta^{(-k)}(t)$ is computed with base point $+\infty$.

Assume that $\Phi(t) = O((t+1)^{-1})$, by the above lemma, we have

$$P'_k(v) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{v+1}} \sum_{j=0}^k c_j(k) (k-j)! \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k-j} \int_v^\infty \frac{\left[\frac{\Phi(u)}{(-u-1)^{k-j}} \right]^{(j-k)}}{\sqrt{u-v}} du.$$

Therefore,

$$P'_k(v) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{v+1}} \int_v^\infty \frac{W_k(u)}{\sqrt{u-v}} du,$$

where

$$W_k(u) = \sum_{j=0}^k c_j(k) (k-j)! \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k-j} \left[\frac{\Phi(u)}{(-u-1)^{k-j}} \right]^{(j-k)}.$$

In particular, when $k = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1(w) &= \int_w^\infty \Phi(u)(u+1)^{-1} du \int_w^u \left(\sqrt{\frac{v+1}{u-v}} - \sqrt{\frac{u-v}{v+1}} \right) dv \\
&= \int_w^\infty \Phi(u)(u+1)^{-1} du \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(u+1) - 2\sqrt{(u-v)(v+1)} \right] \Big|_{v=w}^u \\
&= \int_w^\infty \Phi(u) \frac{2}{u+1} \sqrt{(u-w)(w+1)} du.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $-\left(\frac{P_1(v)}{\sqrt{v+1}}\right)' = \int_v^\infty \frac{\Phi(u)}{u+1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u-v}} du$. We have

$$\frac{\Phi(u)}{u+1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{v-u}} d \left[\left(\frac{P_1(v)}{\sqrt{v+1}} \right)' \right].$$

When $k = \frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $p = 0$, we have

$$Q_0(w) = 2 \int_w^\infty \Phi(u) \sqrt{\frac{u-w}{u+1}} du.$$

Hence,

$$Q_0'(w) = - \int_w^\infty \frac{\Phi(u)}{\sqrt{u+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u-w}} du.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\Phi(u)}{\sqrt{u+1}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty \frac{dQ_0'(w)}{\sqrt{w-u}}.$$

□

Now, let us recall some basic facts about the growth of volume of geodesic balls in a complex hyperbolic space (see [Go], pp.104-105, 3.3.4). Since the Euclidean distance r from 0 is related to the hyperbolic distance ρ by $r = \tanh\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)$, the volume of a ball of radius ρ is given by

$$\text{Vol}(B(\rho)) = \frac{8^n \sigma_{2n-1}}{2n} \sinh^{2n} \left(\frac{\rho}{2} \right) \sim \frac{8^n \sigma_{2n-1}}{2n} e^{n\rho},$$

where $\sigma_{2n-1} = \frac{2\pi^n}{n!}$ is the Euclidean volume of the unit sphere $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Thus the volume of a geodesic ball has exponential growth rate n . In our case, $n = 2$ and $\text{Vol}(B(\rho)) \sim O(e^{2\rho})$. By the same method as in [H], p.5, Proposition 2.2, we can prove that

$$\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma(\mathcal{F}) \cap B(Z_0; \rho) \neq \emptyset\} = O(e^{2\rho}),$$

where $Z_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $B(Z_0; \rho)$ denotes the geodesic ball about Z_0 with radius ρ .

Definition 2.7. The automorphic kernel function is given by

$$(2.15) \quad G_k(Z, W) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K_k(Z, \gamma(W)) J(\gamma, W)^{2k},$$

for $(Z, W) \in \mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$.

PROPOSITION 2.8.

- (1) $G_k(Z, W)$ reduces to a sum of uniformly bounded length.
- (2) $G_k(Z, W) = \overline{G_k(W, Z)}$ on $\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$.
- (3) $G_k(\gamma_1(Z), \gamma_2(W)) = J(\gamma_1, Z)^{2k} G_k(Z, W) J(\gamma_2, W)^{-2k}$ for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$.

Proof. (1) The function Φ has compact support. Hence, $K_k(Z, \gamma(W)) = 0$ when $\delta(Z, \gamma(W)) \geq A$ (say), where $\delta(Z, W) = \frac{1}{2}[\sigma(Z, W) + 1]$ (see [Y], Proposition 3.4). The question reduces to finding an upper bound on the number of polygons $\gamma(\mathcal{F})$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, which intersect $\{\xi : \delta(\xi, Z) \leq A\}$. This is trivial since $\mathcal{F}$ is compact (see also the above argument about the growth of volume of geodesic balls).

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{G_k(W, Z)} &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{K_k(W, \gamma(Z)) J(\gamma, Z)^{2k}} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K_k(\gamma(Z), W) J(\gamma, Z)^{-2k} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} K_k(Z, \gamma^{-1}(W)) J(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}(W))^{-2k} J(\gamma, Z)^{-2k} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K_k(Z, \gamma^{-1}(W)) J(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}(W))^{-2k}. \end{aligned}$$

By $1 = J(I, W) = J(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}(W)) J(\gamma^{-1}, W)$, we have

$$\overline{G_k(W, Z)} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K_k(Z, \gamma^{-1}(W)) J(\gamma^{-1}, W)^{2k} = G_k(Z, W).$$

(3) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(Z, g(W)) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K_k(Z, \gamma g(W)) J(\gamma, g(W))^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K_k(Z, \gamma g(W)) \frac{J(\gamma g, W)^{2k}}{J(g, W)^{2k}} \\ &= \sum_{h \in \Gamma} K_k(Z, h(W)) J(h, W)^{2k} J(g, W)^{-2k} \\ &= J(g, W)^{-2k} G_k(Z, W). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_k(\gamma_1(Z), \gamma_2(W)) &= \overline{G_k(\gamma_2(W), \gamma_1(Z))} \\
&= \overline{G_k(\gamma_2(W), Z)J(\gamma_1, Z)^{-2k}} \\
&= J(\gamma_1, Z)^{2k} \overline{G_k(\gamma_2(W), Z)} \\
&= J(\gamma_1, Z)^{2k} G_k(Z, \gamma_2(W)) \\
&= J(\gamma_1, Z)^{2k} G_k(Z, W)J(\gamma_2, W)^{-2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 2.9. L_k is a bounded, self-adjoint operator taking $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$ into $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$.

Proof. For $f \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_k f(Z) &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k(Z, W) f(W) dm(W) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\gamma(\mathcal{F})} K_k(Z, W) f(W) dm(W) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\mathcal{F}} K_k(Z, \gamma(W)) f(\gamma(W)) dm(W) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\mathcal{F}} K_k(Z, \gamma(W)) J(\gamma, W)^{2k} f(W) dm(W) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_k(Z, W) f(W) dm(W).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$|L_k f(Z)|^2 \leq \int_{\mathcal{F}} |G_k(Z, W)|^2 dm(W) \cdot \int_{\mathcal{F}} |f(W)|^2 dm(W).$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} |L_k f(Z)|^2 dm(Z) \leq \int_{\mathcal{F}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} |G_k(Z, W)|^2 dm(W) dm(Z) \cdot \int_{\mathcal{F}} |f(W)|^2 dm(W).$$

For $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_k f(\gamma(Z)) &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k(\gamma(Z), W) f(W) dm(W) \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k(\gamma(Z), \gamma(\xi)) f(\gamma(\xi)) dm(\xi) \\
&= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} K_k(Z, \xi) J(\gamma, \xi)^{-2k} \cdot J(\gamma, \xi)^{2k} f(\xi) dm(\xi) \\
&= J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} K_k(Z, \xi) f(\xi) dm(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

So, $L_k f(\gamma(Z)) = J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} L_k f(Z)$. Therefore, L_k is a bounded linear operator from $L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$ to $L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
(L_k f, g) &= \int_{\mathcal{F}} L_k f(Z) \overline{g(Z)} dm(Z) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{F}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_k(Z, W) f(W) \overline{g(Z)} dm(W) dm(Z) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{F}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_k(Z, W) f(W) \overline{g(Z)} dm(Z) dm(W) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{F}} f(W) \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_k(Z, W) \overline{g(Z)} dm(Z) dm(W) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{F}} f(W) \int_{\mathcal{F}} \overline{G_k(W, Z) g(Z)} dm(Z) dm(W) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{F}} f(W) \overline{L_k g(W)} dm(W) = (f, L_k g).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, L_k is a self-adjoint operator. □

PROPOSITION 2.10. *The integral operator $L_k : L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{S}_2, k) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$ is of Hilbert-Schmidt type and is compact.*

Proof. Recall that $L_k f(Z) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_k(Z, W) f(W) dm(W)$. Since Φ has compact support,

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} |G_k(Z, W)|^2 dm(Z) dm(W) < \infty.$$

By [Yo], p.277-278, Example 2, we have that L_k is of the Hilbert-Schmidt type and is compact. □

2.4. The spectral theory of $L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$

Motivated by the method as in [ElGM], pp.145-147, Lemma 2.2, we get the following theorem:

THEOREM 2.11. *Let $\varphi_{s,k}(Z, Z') = H_k(Z, Z') \phi_{s,k}(\sigma)$ with*

$$\phi_{s,k}(\sigma) = \sigma^{-|k|} (1 - \sigma)^{|k| - s} F(s - |k|, s - 1 - |k|; 2s - 1; -\frac{1}{\sigma - 1})$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ (see [Y], pp.35-36), where

$$\sigma = \sigma(Z, Z') = \frac{|\rho(Z, Z')|^2}{\rho(Z)\rho(Z')}, \quad H_k(Z, Z') = \frac{\rho(Z, Z')^{2k}}{|\rho(Z, Z')|^{2k}}.$$

In particular, when $k = 0$,

$$\varphi_{s,0}(Z, Z') = \phi_s(u) = u^{-s}F(s, s-1; 2s-1; -u^{-1}),$$

where $u = u(Z, Z') = \sigma(Z, Z') - 1$ (see [Y], p.18). Assume that $\lambda = s(s-2)$ and $g \in C_c^2(\mathfrak{S}_2)$. The up to a constant,

$$(2.16) \quad 4\pi^2 g(Z') = \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \varphi_{s,k}(Z', Z)(-\Delta_k + \lambda)g(Z)dm(Z).$$

Moreover,

$$(2.17) \quad 4\pi^2 g(Z') = \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_{s,k}(Z', Z)(-\Delta_k + \lambda)g(Z)dm(Z)$$

for all $g \in C^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k) := \{f \in C^2(\mathcal{F}) : f(\gamma(Z)) = J(\gamma, Z)^{2k}f(Z) \text{ for } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, where

$$G_{s,k}(Z', Z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi_{s,k}(Z', \gamma(Z))J(\gamma, Z)^{2k}.$$

Proof. Assume that $Z' = (-\omega, 0)$. We transform $\mathfrak{S}_2$ isometrically onto $\mathbb{B}^2$ such that $Z' = (-\omega, 0) \mapsto 0$, $Z \mapsto W = (w_1, w_2)$. On $\mathbb{B}^2$, let us consider the following differential form ω : $\omega = (1 - |w_1|^2 - |w_2|^2)^{-2}\Omega$, with

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega = & [(1 - |w_1|^2)(f_{w_1}g dw_1 \wedge dw_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 + f_{\bar{w}_1}d\bar{w}_1 \wedge dw_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_2) \\ & - w_1\bar{w}_2(f_{w_1}g dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge dw_2 + f_{\bar{w}_2}d\bar{w}_1 \wedge dw_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_2) \\ & + (1 - |w_2|^2)(f_{w_2}g dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge dw_2 + f_{\bar{w}_2}g dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2) \\ & - \bar{w}_1 w_2(f_{w_2}g dw_1 \wedge dw_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 + f_{\bar{w}_1}dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_2)], \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{w_j} := \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_j}$ and $f_{\bar{w}_j} := \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{w}_j}$. Then

$$d\omega = 2(1 - |w_1|^2 - |w_2|^2)^{-3}(w_1 d\bar{w}_1 + \bar{w}_1 dw_1 + w_2 d\bar{w}_2 + \bar{w}_2 dw_2) \wedge \Omega + (1 - |w_1|^2 - |w_2|^2)^{-2}d\Omega.$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} & (w_1 d\bar{w}_1 + \bar{w}_1 dw_1 + w_2 d\bar{w}_2 + \bar{w}_2 dw_2) \wedge \Omega \\ = & (1 - |w_1|^2 - |w_2|^2)(-w_1 f_{w_1}g + \bar{w}_1 f_{\bar{w}_1}g - w_2 f_{w_2}g + \bar{w}_2 f_{\bar{w}_2}g)dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge dw_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\Omega = & [2(w_1 f_{w_1}g - \bar{w}_1 f_{\bar{w}_1}g + w_2 f_{w_2}g - \bar{w}_2 f_{\bar{w}_2}g) \\ & + (1 - |w_1|^2 - |w_2|^2)^{-1}(f\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2}g - g\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2}f)]dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge dw_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

(2.19)

$$d\omega = (1 - |w_1|^2 - |w_2|^2)^{-3} (f \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} g - g \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} f) dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge dw_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 = (f \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} g - g \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} f) dV_{\mathbb{B}^2}.$$

Transform the integral to an integral over $\mathbb{B}^2$, exclude a small ball of radius ϵ and center 0. We denote the inverse image of $\mathbb{B}_\epsilon^2 := \{W \in \mathbb{B}^2 : |W| = |w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2 \geq \epsilon\}$ in $\mathfrak{S}_2$ by $\mathfrak{S}_{2,\epsilon}$. Let S_ϵ be the boundary of $\mathbb{B}_\epsilon^2$ with orientation such that the normal is directed outside. Using spherical coordinates, we obtain the restriction of the differential form to the sphere S_ϵ . We have $dw_1 = e^{i\theta_1} \cos \varphi dr + ire^{i\theta_1} \cos \varphi d\theta_1 - re^{i\theta_1} \sin \varphi d\varphi$, $dw_2 = e^{i\theta_2} \sin \varphi dr + ire^{i\theta_2} \sin \varphi d\theta_2 + re^{i\theta_2} \cos \varphi d\varphi$. Hence,

(2.20)

$$dm(W) = (1 - |w_1|^2 - |w_2|^2)^{-3} dw_1 d\bar{w}_1 dw_2 d\bar{w}_2 = 4r^3(1 - r^2)^{-3} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi dr d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\varphi.$$

In particular, when $r = \epsilon$ (constant),

$$\begin{aligned} dw_1 \wedge dw_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 &= -2r^3 e^{i\theta_1} \sin \varphi \cos^2 \varphi d\theta_1 \wedge d\theta_2 \wedge d\varphi, \\ dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge dw_2 &= -2r^3 e^{i\theta_2} \sin^2 \varphi \cos \varphi d\theta_1 \wedge d\theta_2 \wedge d\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_1} &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\theta_1} \cos \varphi \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{e^{-i\theta_1}}{r \cos \varphi} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_1} - \frac{e^{-i\theta_1}}{2r} \sin \varphi \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi}, \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_2} &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\theta_2} \sin \varphi \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{e^{-i\theta_2}}{r \sin \varphi} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_2} + \frac{e^{-i\theta_2}}{2r} \cos \varphi \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} T(f) &:= (1 - |w_1|^2 - |w_2|^2)^{-2} [(1 - |w_1|^2) f_{w_1} - \bar{w}_1 w_2 f_{w_2}] dw_1 \wedge dw_2 \wedge d\bar{w}_2 \\ &\quad + [(1 - |w_2|^2) f_{w_2} - w_1 \bar{w}_2 f_{w_1}] dw_1 \wedge d\bar{w}_1 \wedge dw_2 \\ (2.21) \quad &= -2r^3(1 - r^2)^{-1} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi (e^{i\theta_1} \cos \varphi f_{w_1} + e^{i\theta_2} \sin \varphi f_{w_2}) d\theta_1 \wedge d\theta_2 \wedge d\varphi \\ &= -r^3(1 - r^2)^{-1} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{i}{r} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} \right) \right] f d\theta_1 \wedge d\theta_2 \wedge d\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

In fact,

$$(2.22) \quad \omega = T(f)g + f\overline{T(g)}.$$

In the coordinates $(r, \varphi, \theta_1, \theta_2)$, let $f = f(r)$. By $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} f = \lambda f$ with $\lambda = s(s-2)$, we get

$$\frac{1}{4}(1 - r^2)^2 \frac{d^2 f}{dr^2} + \frac{(1 - r^2)(3 - r^2)}{4r} \frac{df}{dr} - \lambda f = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$r^2 \frac{d^2 f}{dr^2} + r \frac{3-r^2}{1-r^2} \frac{df}{dr} + \frac{4r^2}{(1-r^2)^2} s(2-s)f = 0.$$

Here $\frac{3-r^2}{1-r^2} = 3 + 2r^2 + 2r^4 + \dots$, i.e., $a_0 = 3$. The indicial equation is $\nu(\nu-1) + 3\nu = 0$. Hence, $\nu = 0$ or $\nu = -2$. Since $\frac{(1-r^2)(3-r^2)}{4r}$ has a pole at $r = 0$, we have $\nu = -2$. Let $f(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^{n-2}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $a_0 = 1$. Therefore,

$$(2.23) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^3 f(r) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^3 f'(r) = -2.$$

Set $v = r^2$ and $f(r) = \phi(v)$, one has

$$(2.24) \quad \frac{d^2 \phi}{dv^2} + \left(\frac{2}{v} + \frac{-1}{v-1} \right) \frac{d\phi}{dv} + \frac{s(2-s)}{v(v-1)^2} \phi = 0.$$

It is known that the Fuchsian equation with three regular singularities a, b, c is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + \left[\frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{z-a} + \frac{1-\beta_1-\beta_2}{z-b} + \frac{1-\gamma_1-\gamma_2}{z-c} \right] \frac{dw}{dz} + \frac{1}{(z-a)(z-b)(z-c)} \\ & \times \left[\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (a-b)(a-c)}{z-a} + \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2 (b-c)(b-a)}{z-b} + \frac{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 (c-a)(c-b)}{z-c} \right] w = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where (α_1, α_2) , (β_1, β_2) , (γ_1, γ_2) are exponents belonging to a, b, c , respectively, and, they satisfy that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 1$. The solution of this equation is given in Riemann P-notation by

$$w(z) = P \left\{ \begin{matrix} a & b & c \\ \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & \gamma_1; z \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \gamma_2 \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

When $c = \infty$, it reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^2 w}{dz^2} + \left[\frac{1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{z-a} + \frac{1-\beta_1-\beta_2}{z-b} \right] \frac{dw}{dz} + \frac{1}{(z-a)(z-b)} \\ & \times \left[\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (a-b)}{z-a} + \frac{\beta_1 \beta_2 (b-a)}{z-b} + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \right] w = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, in our case,

$$a = 0, b = 1, c = \infty, \alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = -1, \beta_1 = s, \beta_2 = 2-s, \gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = 0,$$

and

$$P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & \infty \\ 0 & s & 0 \\ -1 & 2-s & 0 \end{array} ; r^2 \right\} = (r^2 - 1)^s F(s, s; 2, r^2).$$

Now, we obtain the solution

$$(2.25) \quad f(r) = (r^2 - 1)^s F(s, s; 2s - 1; 1 - r^2).$$

In the next argument, we will prove that by the isometric transformation, the radial solution of the partial differential equation $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2, k} f_k = s(s - 2)f_k$ (see (2.32) for the definition of $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2, k}$), i.e. $f_k = f_k(r)$ with $r^2 = |w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2$, is transformed to the function $\phi_{s, k}(\sigma)$ which is the solution of the differential equation $\widetilde{\Delta}_k \phi_{s, k}(\sigma) = s(s - 2)\phi_{s, k}(\sigma)$ (see (2.31) for the definition of $\widetilde{\Delta}_k$). At first, let us consider the case of weight $k = 0$.

By [Y], Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.4, we have

$$(2.26) \quad \left[u(u + 1) \frac{d^2}{du^2} + (3u + 2) \frac{d}{du} + s(2 - s) \right] \phi_s(u) = 0,$$

where $u = u(Z, Z') = \sigma(Z, Z') - 1$ and $\delta = \delta(Z, Z') = \frac{1}{2}[\sigma(Z, Z') + 1]$. On the other hand,

$$(2.27) \quad \delta(Z, Z') = \cosh d(Z, Z') = \cosh d_{\mathbb{B}^2}(W, 0) = \cosh \left(\log \frac{1+r}{1-r} \right) = \frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2}.$$

Thus, $u = 2(\delta - 1) = \frac{4r^2}{1-r^2} = \frac{4v}{1-v}$, and $\frac{d}{dv} = \frac{1}{4}(u + 4)^2 \frac{d}{du}$. The equation (2.24) is transformed to the equation

$$\left[u(u + 4) \frac{d^2}{du^2} + (3u + 8) \frac{d}{du} + s(2 - s) \right] \widetilde{\phi}_s(u) = 0.$$

Set $u = 4t$, then

$$\left[t(t + 1) \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + (3t + 2) \frac{d}{dt} + s(2 - s) \right] \widetilde{\phi}_s(t) = 0,$$

which is the same as (2.26).

Now, let us consider the general case:

$$(\Delta_k - \lambda)g_{k, \lambda}(Z, Z_0) = 0, \quad Z \in \mathfrak{S}_2 - \{Z_0\}.$$

Set

$$(2.28) \quad \widetilde{g_{k, \lambda}}(Z, (-\omega, 0)) = \left[\frac{\rho(Z, (-\omega, 0))}{\rho(\overline{Z}, (-\overline{\omega}, 0))} \right]^{-k} g_{k, \lambda}(Z, Z_0).$$

Then

$$(2.29) \quad (\widetilde{\Delta}_k - \lambda) \widetilde{g_{k,\lambda}}(Z, (-\omega, 0)) = 0,$$

where

$$(2.30) \quad \widetilde{\Delta}_k = \left[\frac{\rho(Z, (-\omega, 0))}{\rho(\overline{Z}, (-\overline{\omega}, 0))} \right]^{-k} \circ \Delta_k \circ \left[\frac{\rho(Z, (-\omega, 0))}{\rho(\overline{Z}, (-\overline{\omega}, 0))} \right]^k.$$

In fact,

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta}_k &= \left(\frac{\overline{z_1} - \omega}{z_1 - \overline{\omega}} \right)^{-k} \circ \Delta_k \circ \left(\frac{\overline{z_1} - \omega}{z_1 - \overline{\omega}} \right)^k = \Delta + (z_1 + \overline{z_1} - z_2 \overline{z_2}) \\ &\times \left[k \frac{z_1 + \omega}{\overline{z_1} - \omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - k \frac{\overline{z_1} + \overline{\omega}}{z_1 - \overline{\omega}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_1}} + k \frac{z_2}{\overline{z_1} - \omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - k \frac{\overline{z_2}}{z_1 - \overline{\omega}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z_2}} + \frac{k^2}{(\overline{z_1} - \omega)(z_1 - \overline{\omega})} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Delta}_k \phi_{s,k}(\sigma) &= \left(\frac{\overline{z_1} - \omega}{z_1 - \overline{\omega}} \right)^{-k} \Delta_k \varphi_{s,k}(Z, (-\omega, 0)) \\ &= \left(\frac{\overline{z_1} - \omega}{z_1 - \overline{\omega}} \right)^{-k} s(s-2) \varphi_{s,k}(Z, (-\omega, 0)) = s(s-2) \phi_{s,k}(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

In the ball model, the operator $\widetilde{\Delta}_k$ is given by

$$(2.32) \quad \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2,k} = \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} + (1 - |w_1|^2 - |w_2|^2) \left[k \left(w_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} - \overline{w_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w_1}} + w_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_2} - \overline{w_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w_2}} \right) + k^2 \right].$$

In the coordinates $(r, \varphi, \theta_1, \theta_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} &= \frac{1}{2} r \cos^2 \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} - \frac{1}{2} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}, \\ w_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_2} &= \frac{1}{2} r \sin^2 \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} + \frac{1}{2} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$w_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} - \overline{w_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w_1}} + w_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_2} - \overline{w_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w_2}} = -i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} \right).$$

In the coordinates $(r, \varphi, \theta_1, \theta_2)$, let $f_k = f_k(r)$. By $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2,k} f_k = s(s-2) f_k$, we have

$$\frac{1}{4} (1-r^2)^2 \frac{d^2 f_k}{dr^2} + \frac{(1-r^2)(3-r^2)}{4r} \frac{df_k}{dr} + [k^2(1-r^2) - s(s-2)] f_k = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$r^2 \frac{d^2 f_k}{dr^2} + r \frac{3-r^2}{1-r^2} \frac{df_k}{dr} + \frac{4r^2}{(1-r^2)^2} [k^2(1-r^2) + s(2-s)] f_k = 0.$$

The indicial equation is $\nu(\nu-1) + 3\nu = 0$. Since $\frac{(1-r^2)(3-r^2)}{4r}$ has a pole at $r = 0$, $\nu = -2$. Let $f_k(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^{n-2}$ with $a_0 = 1$. Then

$$(2.33) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^3 f_k(r) = 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} r^3 f'_k(r) = -2.$$

Set $v = r^2$ and $f_k(r) = \tilde{f}_k(v)$, then

$$(2.34) \quad \frac{d^2 \tilde{f}_k}{dv^2} + \left(\frac{2}{v} + \frac{-1}{v-1} \right) \frac{d\tilde{f}_k}{dv} + \frac{1}{v(v-1)} \left[\frac{s(2-s)}{v-1} - k^2 \right] \tilde{f}_k = 0.$$

Here,

$$a = 0, b = 1, c = \infty, \alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = -1, \beta_1 = s, \beta_2 = 2-s, \gamma_1 = |k|, \gamma_2 = -|k|.$$

$$P \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & \infty \\ 0 & s & |k| \\ -1 & 2-s & -|k| \end{array} ; r^2 \right\} = (r^2 - 1)^s F(|k| + s, -|k| + s; 2; r^2).$$

Now, we get the solution

$$(2.35) \quad f_k(r) = (r^2 - 1)^s F(s + |k|, s - |k|; 2s - 1; 1 - r^2).$$

By [Y], Lemma 4.8, we have

$$(2.36) \quad \left[\frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2} + \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{2}{\sigma-1} \right) \frac{d}{d\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma(\sigma-1)} \left(\frac{k^2}{\sigma} + s(2-s) \right) \right] \phi_{s,k}(\sigma) = 0.$$

While, $\sigma = 2\delta - 1 = 2\frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2} - 1 = \frac{1+3r^2}{1-r^2} = \frac{1+3v}{1-v}$, and $\frac{d}{dv} = \frac{1}{4}(\sigma+3)^2 \frac{d}{d\sigma}$. The equation (2.34) is transformed to the equation

$$\left\{ (\sigma-1)(\sigma+3) \frac{d^2}{d\sigma^2} + [3(\sigma-1) + 8] \frac{d}{d\sigma} + \left[s(2-s) + \frac{4k^2}{\sigma+3} \right] \right\} \tilde{\phi}_{s,k}(\sigma) = 0.$$

Set $\sigma = 4t - 3$, then

$$\left\{ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{2}{t-1} \right) \frac{d}{dt} + \frac{1}{t(t-1)} \left[\frac{k^2}{t} + s(2-s) \right] \right\} \tilde{\phi}_{s,k}(t) = 0,$$

which is the same as (2.36).

By the above argument, we see that by the Cayley transform, the integral

$$\int_{\mathfrak{S}_{2,\epsilon}} \phi_{s,k}(\sigma((- \omega, 0), Z))(\widetilde{\Delta}_k - \lambda)g(Z)dm(Z)$$

is transformed to

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_\epsilon^2} f_k(r)(\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2,k} - \lambda)h(W)dm(W).$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{B}_\epsilon^2} f_k(r) \cdot k(1 - |w_1|^2 - |w_2|^2) \left(w_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} - \overline{w_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w_1}} + w_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial w_2} - \overline{w_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{w_2}} \right) h(W) dm(W) \\ &= \int_\epsilon^1 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f_k(r) k(1 - r^2) (-i) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} \right) h(r \cos \varphi e^{i\theta_1}, r \sin \varphi e^{i\theta_2}) \\ & \quad \times 4r^3(1 - r^2)^{-3} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\varphi dr. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta_1}(r \cos \varphi e^{i\theta_1}, r \sin \varphi e^{i\theta_2}) d\theta_1 = h(r \cos \varphi e^{i\theta_1}, r \sin \varphi e^{i\theta_2})|_{\theta_1=0}^{2\pi} = 0, \\ & \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta_2}(r \cos \varphi e^{i\theta_1}, r \sin \varphi e^{i\theta_2}) d\theta_2 = h(r \cos \varphi e^{i\theta_1}, r \sin \varphi e^{i\theta_2})|_{\theta_2=0}^{2\pi} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the above integral vanishes. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_\epsilon^2} f_k(r)(\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2,k} - \lambda)h(W)dm(W) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_\epsilon^2} f_k(r)[\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} + k^2(1 - r^2) - \lambda]h(W)dm(W).$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \varphi_{s,k}((- \omega, 0), Z)(-\Delta_k + \lambda)g(Z)dm(Z) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathfrak{S}_{2,\epsilon}} \varphi_{s,k}((- \omega, 0), Z)(-\Delta_k + \lambda)g(Z)dm(Z), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathfrak{S}_{2,\epsilon}} \varphi_{s,k}((- \omega, 0), Z)(-\Delta_k + \lambda)g(Z)dm(Z) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_{2,\epsilon}} \left(\frac{\overline{z_1} - \omega}{z_1 - \overline{\omega}} \right)^{-k} \phi_{s,k}(\sigma((- \omega, 0), Z))(-\Delta_k + \lambda)g(Z)dm(Z) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_{2,\epsilon}} \phi_{s,k}(\sigma)(-\widetilde{\Delta}_k + \lambda) \left[\left(\frac{\overline{z_1} - \omega}{z_1 - \overline{\omega}} \right)^{-k} g(Z) \right] dm(Z). \end{aligned}$$

By the Cayley transformation, the function $\left(\frac{\bar{z}_1 - \omega}{z_1 - \bar{\omega}}\right)^{-k} g(Z)$ is transformed to the function $h(W)$. Hence, the above integral is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{B}_\epsilon^2} f_k(r) [-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} - k^2(1 - r^2) + \lambda] h(W) dm(W) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}_\epsilon^2} \{h(W) [\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} + k^2(1 - r^2)] f_k(r) - f_k(r) [\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} + k^2(1 - r^2)] h(W)\} dm(W) \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{B}_\epsilon^2} [f_k(r) \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} h(W) - h(W) \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^2} f_k(r)] dm(W) \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{B}_\epsilon^2} d\omega = \int_{S_\epsilon} \omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $\omega = T(f_k(r))h(W) + f_k(r)\overline{T(h(W))}$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} h(W) d\theta_j &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} h(r \cos \varphi e^{i\theta_1}, r \sin \varphi e^{i\theta_2}) d\theta_j \\
&= h(r \cos \varphi e^{i\theta_1}, r \sin \varphi e^{i\theta_2})|_{\theta_j=0}^{2\pi} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S_\epsilon} \omega &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [-r^3(1 - r^2)^{-1} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi f'(r) h(W) \\
&\quad + f(r)(-r^3)(1 - r^2)^{-1} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \overline{h(W)}] d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\varphi \\
&= h(0) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} 2 \sin \varphi \cos \varphi d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\varphi \\
&= 4\pi^2 h(0) \\
&= 4\pi^2 \left(\frac{-\bar{\omega} - \omega}{-\omega - \bar{\omega}} \right)^{-k} g((-\omega, 0)) \\
&= 4\pi^2 g((-\omega, 0)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \varphi_{s,k}((-\omega, 0), Z) (-\Delta_k + \lambda) g(Z) dm(Z) = 4\pi^2 g((-\omega, 0)).$$

In general, there exists $\gamma \in SU(2, 1)$, such that $Z' = \gamma(-\omega, 0)$, $Z = \gamma(\tilde{Z})$.

$$\varphi_{s,k}(Z', Z) = J(\gamma, (-\omega, 0))^{2k} \varphi_{s,k}((-\omega, 0), \tilde{Z}) J(\gamma, \tilde{Z})^{-2k}.$$

By [Y], Proposition 4.2, we have

$$(\Delta_k g)(Z) = (\Delta_k g)(\gamma(\tilde{Z})) = J(\gamma, \tilde{Z})^{2k} \Delta_k [g(\gamma(\tilde{Z})) J(\gamma, \tilde{Z})^{-2k}].$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \varphi_{s,k}(Z', Z) (-\Delta_k + \lambda) g(Z) dm(Z) \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} J(\gamma, (-\omega, 0))^{2k} \varphi_{s,k}((-\omega, 0), \tilde{Z}) J(\gamma, \tilde{Z})^{-2k} \\ & \quad \times J(\gamma, \tilde{Z})^{2k} [-\Delta_k(\tilde{Z}) + \lambda] [g(\gamma(\tilde{Z})) J(\gamma, \tilde{Z})^{-2k}] dm(\tilde{Z}) \\ &= J(\gamma, (-\omega, 0))^{2k} \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \varphi_{s,k}((-\omega, 0), \tilde{Z}) [-\Delta_k(\tilde{Z}) + \lambda] [g(\gamma(\tilde{Z})) J(\gamma, \tilde{Z})^{-2k}] dm(\tilde{Z}) \\ &= J(\gamma, (-\omega, 0))^{2k} \cdot 4\pi^2 g(\gamma(-\omega, 0)) J(\gamma, (-\omega, 0))^{-2k} \\ &= 4\pi^2 g(\gamma(-\omega, 0)) = 4\pi^2 g(Z'). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi^2 g(Z') &= \int_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \varphi_{s,k}(Z', Z) (-\Delta_k + \lambda) g(Z) dm(Z) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\gamma(\mathcal{F})} \varphi_{s,k}(Z', Z) (-\Delta_k + \lambda) g(Z) dm(Z) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi_{s,k}(Z', \gamma(Z)) [-\Delta_k(\gamma(Z)) + \lambda] g(\gamma(Z)) dm(\gamma(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in C^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$, one has $g(\gamma(Z)) = J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} g(Z)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k(\gamma(Z)) g(\gamma(Z)) &= (\Delta_k g)(\gamma(Z)) = J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} \Delta_k [g(\gamma(Z)) J(\gamma, Z)^{-2k}] \\ &= J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} \Delta_k g(Z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} [-\Delta_k(\gamma(Z)) + \lambda] g(\gamma(Z)) &= -J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} \Delta_k g(Z) + \lambda J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} g(Z) \\ &= J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} (-\Delta_k + \lambda) g(Z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi^2 g(Z') &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi_{s,k}(Z', \gamma(Z)) J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} (-\Delta_k + \lambda) g(Z) dm(Z) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_{s,k}(Z', Z) (-\Delta_k + \lambda) g(Z) dm(Z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_{s,k}(Z', Z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi_{s,k}(Z', \gamma(Z)) J(\gamma, Z)^{2k}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.11. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.12. *Set $L[u] = \Delta_k u - s(s-2)u$. The eigenfunctions of the associated integral equation*

$$(2.37) \quad \phi(Z) - \mu \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_{s,k}(Z, \xi) \phi(\xi) dm(\xi) = 0$$

are complete in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 2.11 in the context of the analogue of the Hilbert-Schmidt theorem and the completeness theorem of eigenfunction expansions (see [Gar], p.382-385). $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.13. *Let $L[u] = \Delta_k u - s(s-2)u$. The equations $L[u] + \mu u = 0$ and*

$$(2.38) \quad \phi(Z) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_{s,k}(Z, \xi) \phi(\xi) dm(\xi)$$

have identical eigenfunctions for $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$.

Proof. Suppose $u \in C^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$ satisfy that $L[u] + \mu u = 0$. As an application of Theorem 2.11, we have

$$u(Z) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_{s,k}(Z, \xi) u(\xi) dm(\xi).$$

Conversely, assume that $\phi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$ satisfy $\phi = \frac{\mu}{4\pi^2} G_{s,k} \circ \phi$. One can modify the proof of the classical Poisson equation in potential theory (see [Gar], p.170-173) to see that $\phi \in C^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$ and $L[\phi] = -\mu \phi(Z)$. $\square$

THEOREM 2.14. *The equations $\Delta_k f = \mu f$ and*

$$(2.39) \quad \phi(Z) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} [s(s-2) - \mu] \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_{s,k}(Z, \xi) \phi(\xi) dm(\xi)$$

have identical eigenfunctions for $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$. These eigenfunctions are complete in $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$.

Proof. This is the consequence of Proposition 2.12 and Proposition 2.13. $\square$

Definition 2.15. Let $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the complete orthonormal family of $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$ eigenfunctions generated by the Fredholm theory of Theorem 2.14 for fixed s . Thus,

$$(2.40) \quad \Delta_k \phi_n = \lambda_n \phi_n, \quad \phi_n(Z) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} [s(s-2) - \lambda_n] \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_{s,k}(Z, \xi) \phi_n(\xi) dm(\xi), n \geq 0.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2 \times \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k) &= \{F(Z, W) : F \in L^2(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}), \\ F(\gamma_1(Z), \gamma_2(W)) &= J(\gamma_1, Z)^{2k} F(Z, W) J(\gamma_2, W)^{-2k} \quad \text{for } (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma\}. \end{aligned}$$

$L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2 \times \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(F, G) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} F(Z, W) \overline{G(Z, W)} dm(Z) dm(W).$$

Note that

$$(2.41) \quad L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} [\phi_n(Z)],$$

$$(2.42) \quad L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2 \times \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2, k) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} [\phi_m(Z) \overline{\phi_n(W)}].$$

We have

$$(2.43) \quad G_k(Z, W) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_k(\lambda_n) \phi_n(Z) \overline{\phi_n(W)},$$

where the convergence is uniform and absolute (see [H], p.12, Proposition 3.4, p.14, Proposition 3.8, p.346, Proposition 4.8 and p.374), and the eigenvalues $\Lambda_k(\lambda_n)$ are real. Moreover,

$$(2.44) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\Lambda_k(\lambda_n)| < \infty.$$

$$(2.45) \quad \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_k(Z, Z) dm(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_k(\lambda_n) = \text{Tr}(L_k).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}(L_k) &= \int_{\mathcal{F}} G_k(Z, Z) dm(Z) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{F}} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K_k(Z, \gamma(Z)) J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} dm(Z) \\
&= \sum_{\{\gamma\}} \sum_{R \in \{\gamma\}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} K_k(Z, R(Z)) J(R, Z)^{2k} dm(Z).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the element R can be expressed as $R = \sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma$ with $\sigma \in \Gamma$. In fact, $\sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma = \tau^{-1}\gamma\tau$ if and only if $\tau\sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma\tau^{-1} = \gamma$ if and only if $\sigma\tau^{-1} \in Z(\gamma)$ if and only if $\sigma \in Z(\gamma)\tau$, where $Z(\gamma)$ is the centralizer of γ in Γ . Hence,

$$\text{Tr}(L_k) = \sum_{\{\gamma\}} \sum_{\sigma \in Z(\gamma) \backslash \Gamma} \int_{\mathcal{F}} K_k(Z, \sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma(Z)) J(\sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma, Z)^{2k} dm(Z).$$

Note that

$$J(\gamma\sigma, Z) = J(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma(Z)) J(\sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma, Z)$$

and

$$K(\sigma(Z), \gamma\sigma(Z)) = J(\sigma, Z)^{2k} K(Z, \sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma(Z)) J(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma(Z))^{-2k},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}(L_k) &= \sum_{\{\gamma\}} \sum_{\sigma \in Z(\gamma) \backslash \Gamma} \int_{\mathcal{F}} K_k(\sigma(Z), \gamma\sigma(Z)) J(\sigma, Z)^{-2k} J(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma(Z))^{2k} \\
&\quad \times J(\gamma\sigma, Z)^{2k} J(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma(Z))^{-2k} dm(Z) \\
&= \sum_{\{\gamma\}} \sum_{\sigma \in Z(\gamma) \backslash \Gamma} \int_{\mathcal{F}} K_k(\sigma(Z), \gamma\sigma(Z)) \frac{J(\gamma\sigma, Z)^{2k}}{J(\sigma, Z)^{2k}} dm(Z) \\
&= \sum_{\{\gamma\}} \sum_{\sigma \in Z(\gamma) \backslash \Gamma} \int_{\mathcal{F}} K_k(\sigma(Z), \gamma\sigma(Z)) J(\gamma, \sigma(Z))^{2k} dm(Z) \\
&= \sum_{\{\gamma\}} \sum_{\sigma \in Z(\gamma) \backslash \Gamma} \int_{\sigma(\mathcal{F})} K_k(\xi, \gamma(\xi)) J(\gamma, \xi)^{2k} dm(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is compact and Φ has compact support, the (γ, σ) sums above are of finite length. By the same method as in [H], p.23, Proposition 5.1 (Chapter 1), we can prove that $\bigcup_{\sigma \in Z(\gamma) \backslash \Gamma} \sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \text{FR}[Z(\gamma)]$, the fundamental region for $Z(\gamma)$. Moreover, the integral $\int_{\text{FR}[Z(\gamma)]} K_k(Z, \gamma(Z)) J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} dm(Z)$ is independent of the choice of fundamental

region. In fact, for $\tau \in Z(\gamma)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& K_k(\tau(Z), \gamma\tau(Z))J(\gamma, \tau(Z))^{2k} \\
&= K_k(\tau(Z), \tau\gamma(Z))J(\gamma, \tau(Z))^{2k} \\
&= J(\tau, Z)^{2k}K_k(Z, \gamma(Z))J(\tau, \gamma(Z))^{-2k}J(\gamma, \tau(Z))^{2k} \\
&= K_k(Z, \gamma(Z))J(\tau, \gamma(Z))^{-2k}J(\gamma\tau, Z)^{2k} \\
&= K_k(Z, \gamma(Z))J(\tau, \gamma(Z))^{-2k}J(\tau\gamma, Z)^{2k} \\
&= K_k(Z, \gamma(Z))J(\gamma, Z)^{2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(2.46) \quad \text{Tr}(L_k) = \sum_{\{\gamma\}} \int_{\text{FR}[Z(\gamma)]} K_k(Z, \gamma(Z))J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} dm(Z).$$

Since $\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2$ is compact and smooth, there are no parabolic terms and elliptic terms. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.47) \quad \text{Tr}(L_k) &= \int_{\mathcal{F}} K_k(Z, Z) dm(Z) + \sum_{\{\gamma\} \text{ hyperbolic}} \int_{\text{FR}[Z(\gamma)]} K_k(Z, \gamma(Z))J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} dm(Z). \\
&\quad \text{Tr}(\gamma) > 2
\end{aligned}$$

3. Trace formulas and zeta functions of weight k

3.1. Basic notions

According to [Hi2], let M be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ endowed with the Bergmann hermitian metric. This is a Kähler metric which is invariant under complex analytic homeomorphisms of M . Let $I(M)$ be the group of all such homeomorphisms and $Y = M/\Gamma$ the quotient space defined by the action of a subgroup Γ of $I(M)$. The identification map $p : M \rightarrow Y$ is a complex analytic covering map of a compact complex manifold Y if (a) Γ is properly discontinuous, i.e. any compact set in M intersects only a finite number of its images under Γ ; (b) M/Γ is compact; (c) Γ acts freely, i.e. only the identity element of Γ has fixed points. Properties (a), (b), (c) imply that the canonical line bundle K_Y is a positive line bundle over Y . Therefore Y is an algebraic manifold. The following special case of a theorem of Borel shows that there always exist algebraic manifolds M/Γ (see [Hi2, Theorem 22.2.2] or [Bo]).

THEOREM (Borel). *Let M be a bounded homogeneous symmetric domain, and $I(M)$ the group of complex analytic homeomorphisms of M . Then*

- (1) $I(M)$ contains a subgroup Γ which satisfies (a), (b) and (c);

- (2) if Γ is a subgroup of $I(M)$ which satisfies (a) and (b), and which does not consist only of the identity element, then Γ has a proper normal subgroup of finite index which satisfies (a), (b) and (c).

Let M be a complete locally symmetric Riemannian manifold of negative curvature. Assume that the real dimension of M is even, M has finite volume and M is compact, since the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ is homogeneous, the Gauss-Bonnet formula or Hirzebruch proportionality theorem [Hi2, Theorem 22.2.1] tells us that there is a constant κ_n such that $\text{Vol}(M) = \kappa_n e(M)$, where $e(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \dim H^i(M, \mathbb{R})$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2n$ is the Euler number of M . When the holomorphic sectional curvature is normalized to be -1 , hence the sectional curvature is between $-\frac{1}{4}$ and -1 , we have (see [HP])

$$\kappa_n = \frac{(-\pi)^n 2^{2n}}{(n+1)!}.$$

In particular, $\kappa_2 = \frac{8}{3}\pi^2$.

Yau's Theorem [Yau] tells us that all varieties X on which K is ample carry a unique Kähler-Einstein metric. This result shows that if X is a surface on which K is ample, then the Chern numbers satisfy $c_1^2 \leq 3c_2$, the equality holds if and only if X is isomorphic to $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{B}^2$, where $\Gamma \subset PSU(2, 1)$ is a discrete torsion-free cocompact subgroup. Hirzebruch [Hi1] showed that the surfaces $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{B}^2$ did satisfy $c_1^2 = 3c_2$. Furthermore, Borel showed that (see [BPV], p.177) for infinitely many $a \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a surface X which has the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^2$ as its universal covering, such that $c_1^2(X) = 3a$, $c_2(X) = a$.

In our case, since $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2$ is covered by the unit ball, $c_1^2 = 3c_2$. Noether's formula tells us that (see [BPV], p.20)

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1 - q(X) + p_g(X) = \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2(X) + c_2(X)),$$

where X is a compact complex surface. Hence $c_1^2 + c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$. Consequently, $c_2(M) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, $\text{Vol}(M) = \frac{8}{3}\pi^2 c_2(M)$ is a multiple of π^2 .

3.2. The computation of the identity

We have

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} K_k(Z, Z) dm(Z) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} H_k(Z, Z) \Phi(0) dm(Z) = \Phi(0) \text{Vol}(\mathcal{F}),$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the fundamental region of Γ .

In the case of weight $k = 0$, by $\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{v-u}} d(\sqrt{v+1}P'(v))$, we have

$$\Phi(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} d(\sqrt{v+1}P'(v)).$$

Since $v = \sinh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}$, one has $v + 1 = \cosh^2 \frac{\eta}{2}$, $dv = \frac{1}{2} \sinh \eta d\eta$. $P(v) = g(\eta)$ implies that $P'(v)dv = g'(\eta)d\eta$. So $P'(v) = g'(\eta) \frac{d\eta}{dv} = \frac{2g'(\eta)}{\sinh \eta}$. Thus, $\sqrt{v+1}P'(v) = \frac{g'(\eta)}{\sinh \frac{\eta}{2}}$,

$$\Phi(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{\eta}{2}} d\left(\frac{g'(\eta)}{\sinh \frac{\eta}{2}}\right).$$

While, $g(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h(r)e^{-ir\eta}dr$ and $h(r) = h(-r)$, we have

$$g'(\eta) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty rh(r) \sin r\eta dr.$$

Hence,

$$\Phi(0) = \frac{1}{4\pi^3} \int_{-\infty}^\infty rh(r)dr \int_0^\infty \frac{\frac{1}{2} \cosh \frac{\eta}{2} \sin r\eta - r \sinh \frac{\eta}{2} \cos r\eta}{\sinh^3 \frac{\eta}{2}} d\eta.$$

Denote the inner integral as $F(r)$. Set $u = \frac{1}{2}\eta$ and $G(2r) = F(r)$, then

$$G(r) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\cosh u \sin ru - r \sinh u \cos ru}{\sinh^3 u} du.$$

We have $G(r) = \frac{\pi}{4} r^2 \frac{1+e^{-\pi r}}{1-e^{-\pi r}}$ (see (A.1) in Appendix). So $F(r) = G(2r) = \frac{\pi r^2}{\tanh \pi r}$. Hence, $\Phi(0) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h(r) \frac{r^3}{\tanh \pi r} dr$ and

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Vol}(M)\Phi(0) = \frac{2}{3}c_2(M) \int_{-\infty}^\infty h(r) \frac{r^3}{\tanh \pi r} dr.$$

In the case of weight $k = 1$, by $\Phi(u) = \frac{u+1}{\pi} \int_u^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{v-u}} d\left[\left(\frac{P_1(v)}{\sqrt{v+1}}\right)'\right]$, we have

$$\Phi(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} \left(\frac{P_1(v)}{\sqrt{v+1}}\right)'' dv.$$

Put $v = \sinh^2 \frac{u}{2}$, then $\sqrt{v} = \sinh \frac{u}{2}$, $\sqrt{v+1} = \cosh \frac{u}{2}$, $P_1(v) = g_1(u)$ and $\frac{dv}{du} = \frac{1}{2} \sinh u$. We have $P_1'(v)dv = g_1'(u)du$, so $P_1'(v) = \frac{2g_1'(u)}{\sinh u}$. Similarly, $P_1''(v)dv = \left(\frac{2g_1'(u)}{\sinh u}\right)' du$, this implies that $P_1''(v) = \frac{2}{\sinh u} \left(\frac{2g_1'(u)}{\sinh u}\right)'$. Here

$$\left(\frac{P_1(v)}{\sqrt{v+1}}\right)'' = \frac{P_1''(v)}{\sqrt{v+1}} - \frac{P_1'(v)}{(v+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{P_1(v)}{(v+1)^{\frac{5}{2}}}.$$

Thus,

$$\Phi(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{4g_1''(u)}{\sinh^2 u} - \frac{4 \cosh u}{\sinh^3 u} g_1'(u) - \frac{2g_1'(u)}{\sinh u \cosh^2 \frac{u}{2}} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{g_1(u)}{\cosh^4 \frac{u}{2}} \right] du.$$

Note that $g_1(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty h_1(r) \cos ru dr$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^3} \int_0^\infty h_1(r) dr \\ &\times \int_0^\infty \left[4r \frac{\cosh u \sin ru - r \cos ru \sinh u}{\sinh^3 u} + 2r \frac{\sin ru}{\sinh u \cosh^2 \frac{u}{2}} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{\cos ru}{\cosh^4 \frac{u}{2}} \right] du. \end{aligned}$$

Here, by (A.1) in Appendix, we have

$$\int_0^\infty 4r \frac{\cosh u \sin ru - r \sinh u \cos ru}{\sinh^3 u} du = \frac{\pi r^3}{\tanh \frac{\pi}{2} r}.$$

On the other hand, $2r \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin ru}{\sinh u \cosh^2 \frac{u}{2}} du = r \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin ru}{\sinh \frac{u}{2} \cosh^3 \frac{u}{2}} du$. By (A.3) and (A.4) in Appendix, we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin ru}{\sinh \frac{u}{2} \cosh^3 \frac{u}{2}} du = \frac{\pi}{\tanh \pi r} - \frac{\pi(2r^2 + 1)}{\sinh \pi r}, \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos ru}{\cosh^4 \frac{u}{2}} du = \frac{4\pi r(r^2 + 1)}{3 \sinh \pi r}.$$

Therefore,

$$\Phi(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi^3} \int_0^\infty h_1(r) \left[\frac{\pi r^3}{\tanh \frac{\pi}{2} r} + \frac{\pi r}{\tanh \pi r} - \frac{\pi r(2r^2 + 1)}{\sinh \pi r} + \frac{\pi r(r^2 + 1)}{\sinh \pi r} \right] dr.$$

By $\frac{1}{\tanh \frac{\pi}{2} r} - \frac{1}{\sinh \pi r} = \frac{1}{\tanh \pi r}$, we have $\Phi(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty h_1(r) \frac{r(r^2+1)}{\tanh \pi r} dr$. Thus,

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Vol}(M) \Phi(0) = \frac{2}{3} c_2(M) \int_{-\infty}^\infty h_1(r) \frac{r(r^2+1)}{\tanh \pi r} dr.$$

In the case of weight $k = \frac{1}{2}$, by

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{u+1} \int_u^\infty \frac{dQ'_0(v)}{\sqrt{v-u}},$$

we have

$$\Phi(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} dQ'_0(v).$$

By the same method as above, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(0) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sinh \frac{\eta}{2}} d \left(\frac{2g'_{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta)}{\sinh \eta} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^3} \int_{-\infty}^\infty r h_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) dr \int_0^\infty \frac{\cosh \eta \sin r\eta - r \sinh \eta \cos r\eta}{\sinh \frac{\eta}{2} \sinh^2 \eta} d\eta.\end{aligned}$$

Denote the inner integral as $H(r)$, we have (see (A.2) in Appendix)

$$H(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(r^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \tanh \pi r.$$

Therefore,

$$\Phi(0) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty h_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) r \left(r^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \tanh \pi r dr$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Vol}(M)\Phi(0) = \frac{2}{3}c_2(M) \int_{-\infty}^\infty h_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) r \left(r^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \tanh \pi r dr.$$

3.3. The computation of hyperbolic elements

Suppose that the hyperbolic element takes the form $\gamma = \text{diag}(\mu e^{i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}, \mu^{-1}e^{i\theta})$. For $Z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathfrak{S}_2$, $\gamma(z_1, z_2) = (\mu^2 z_1, \mu e^{-3i\theta} z_2)$, $\rho(\gamma(z_1, z_2)) = \mu^2 \rho(z_1, z_2)$. By Proposition 2.1, $Z(\gamma) = \langle \gamma_1 \rangle \times \langle \gamma_2 \rangle$, where (γ_1, γ_2) is a primitive pair and $\gamma_1 = \text{diag}(r_0, 1, r_0^{-1})$, $\gamma_2 = \text{diag}(e^{i\varphi_0}, e^{-2i\varphi_0}, e^{i\varphi_0})$. Thus,

$$\text{FR}[Z(\gamma)] = \text{FR}[\langle \gamma_1 \rangle \times \langle \gamma_2 \rangle] = \text{FR}(\gamma_1) \cap \text{FR}(\gamma_2).$$

One has $\gamma_2(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, e^{-3i\varphi_0} z_2)$ and $\gamma_1(z_1, z_2) = (r_0^2 z_1, r_0 z_2)$. Hence,

$$\text{FR}(\gamma_2) = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathfrak{S}_2 : 0 \leq \arg(z_2) < \frac{6\pi}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)}\},$$

$$\text{FR}(\gamma_1) = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathfrak{S}_2 : 1 \leq \rho(z_1, z_2) < r_0^2\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{FR}[Z(\gamma)] = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathfrak{S}_2 : 1 \leq \rho(z_1, z_2) < r_0^2, \quad 0 \leq \arg(z_2) < \frac{6\pi}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)}\}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sigma(Z, \gamma(Z)) = \frac{|\rho(Z, \gamma(Z))|^2}{\rho(Z)\rho(\gamma(Z))} = \mu^{-2}\rho^{-2}|\bar{z}_1 + \mu^2 z_1 - \mu e^{-3i\theta} z_2 \bar{z}_2|^2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} c(\gamma) &= \int_{\text{FR}[Z(\gamma)]} \Phi(u(Z, \gamma(Z))) dm(Z) \\ &= \int_{\text{FR}[Z(\gamma)]} \Phi(\rho^{-2}\mu^{-2}|\bar{z}_1 + \mu^2 z_1 - \mu e^{-3i\theta} z_2 \bar{z}_2|^2 - 1) \rho^{-3} dz_1 d\bar{z}_1 dz_2 d\bar{z}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Set $z_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\rho + |z|^2) + it$, $z_2 = z$ where $\rho > 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &|\bar{z}_1 + \mu^2 z_1 - \mu e^{-3i\theta} z_2 \bar{z}_2|^2 \\ &= \left| \left[\frac{1}{2}(\rho + |z|^2)(\mu^2 + 1) - \mu|z|^2 \cos 3\theta \right] + i[(\mu^2 - 1)t + \mu|z|^2 \sin 3\theta] \right|^2 \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}(\mu^2 + 1)(\rho + |z|^2) - \mu|z|^2 \cos 3\theta \right]^2 + [(\mu^2 - 1)t + \mu|z|^2 \sin 3\theta]^2. \end{aligned}$$

The norm of γ is $N(\gamma) = \mu^2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} c(\gamma) &= \int_1^{N(\gamma_1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \arg(z) < \frac{6\pi}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)}} \Phi(\rho^{-2}([\frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu^{-1})(\rho + |z|^2) - |z|^2 \cos 3\theta]^2 \\ &\quad + [(\mu - \mu^{-1})t + |z|^2 \sin 3\theta]^2) - 1) \rho^{-3} dz d\bar{z} dt d\rho \\ &= \frac{6\pi}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \int_1^{N(\gamma_1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \Phi(\rho^{-2}([\frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu^{-1})(\rho + r) - r \cos 3\theta]^2 \\ &\quad + [(\mu - \mu^{-1})t + r \sin 3\theta]^2) - 1) \rho^{-3} dr dt d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Set $u = \frac{r}{\rho}$ and $v = \frac{2t}{\rho}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} c(\gamma) &= \frac{3\pi}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \ln N(\gamma_1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \Phi([\frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu^{-1})(u + 1) - u \cos 3\theta]^2 \\ &\quad + [\frac{1}{2}(\mu - \mu^{-1})v + u \sin 3\theta]^2 - 1) du dv. \end{aligned}$$

Set $f(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu^{-1})(u + 1) - u \cos 3\theta$, then $f'(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu^{-1}) - \cos 3\theta \geq 1 - \cos 3\theta \geq 0$. So $f(u) \geq f(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu^{-1})$. Let

$$\xi = \left[\frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu^{-1})(u + 1) - u \cos 3\theta \right]^2 - 1, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{2}(\mu - \mu^{-1})v + u \sin 3\theta.$$

Then $\xi \in [\frac{1}{4}(\mu - \mu^{-1})^2, \infty)$, $\eta \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

$$d\xi = \sqrt{\xi + 1}(\mu + \mu^{-1} - 2 \cos 3\theta)du, \quad d\eta = \frac{1}{2}(\mu - \mu^{-1})dv + \sin 3\theta du.$$

Then

$$du \wedge dv = \frac{2}{(\mu + \mu^{-1} - 2 \cos 3\theta)(\mu - \mu^{-1})} \frac{d\xi \wedge d\eta}{\sqrt{\xi + 1}}.$$

Hence,

$$c(\gamma) = \frac{6\pi \cdot \text{ord}(\gamma_2)^{-1} \ln N(\gamma_1)}{(\mu - \mu^{-1})(\mu + \mu^{-1} - 2 \cos 3\theta)} \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu - \mu^{-1})^2}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(\xi + \eta^2) d\eta \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi + 1}}.$$

Set $v = \eta^2$, then

$$c(\gamma) = \frac{6\pi \cdot \text{ord}(\gamma_2)^{-1} \ln N(\gamma_1)}{(\mu - \mu^{-1})(\mu + \mu^{-1} - 2 \cos 3\theta)} \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu - \mu^{-1})^2}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \Phi(\xi + v) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{v}} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi + 1}}.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu - \frac{1}{\mu})^2}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \Phi(\xi + \eta) \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{\eta}} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi + 1}} = \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu - \frac{1}{\mu})^2}^{\infty} \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \Phi(w) \frac{dw}{\sqrt{w - \xi}} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi + 1}} \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu - \frac{1}{\mu})^2}^{\infty} \Phi(w) dw \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu - \frac{1}{\mu})^2}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{(w - \xi)(\xi + 1)}} \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu - \frac{1}{\mu})^2}^{\infty} \Phi(w) \arccos \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}(\mu - \frac{1}{\mu})^2 - w + 1}{w + 1} \right) dw \\ &= P \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(\mu - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^2 \right) = g(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{4}(\mu - \frac{1}{\mu})^2 = \frac{1}{4}(e^\eta + e^{-\eta} - 2)$, i.e., $\eta = \ln \mu^2 = \ln N(\gamma)$. Note that $\mu + \mu^{-1} - 2 \cos 3\theta = |\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{3}{2}i\theta} - \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{3}{2}i\theta}|^2$. Therefore,

$$(3.4) \quad c(\gamma) = \frac{6\pi \cdot \text{ord}(\gamma_2)^{-1} \ln N(\gamma_1)}{[N(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} - N(\gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}}][N(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{2}i\theta(\gamma)} - N(\gamma)^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{2}i\theta(\gamma)}]^2} g(\ln N(\gamma)).$$

In the case of weight $k = 1$, set

$$I_k(\gamma) = \int_{\text{FR}[Z(\gamma)]} H_k(Z, \gamma(Z)) \Phi(u(Z, \gamma(Z))) J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} dm(Z).$$

Then

$$I_k(\gamma) = \int_1^{N(\gamma_1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 \leq \arg(z) < \frac{6\pi}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)}} H_k(Z, \gamma(Z)) \Phi(u(Z, \gamma(Z))) J(\gamma, Z)^{2k} \rho^{-3} d\rho dt dz d\bar{z}.$$

Note that $J(\gamma, Z) = e^{i\theta}$. Using the same notation as above, by the transform $z = \sqrt{r}e^{i\vartheta}$ with $r > 0$, $\vartheta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $u = \frac{r}{\rho}$ and $v = \frac{2t}{\rho}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_k(\gamma) &= \frac{3\pi}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \ln N(\gamma_1) e^{2ki\theta} \\ &\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{([\frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu^{-1})(u+1) - u \cos 3\theta] + i[\frac{1}{2}(\mu - \mu^{-1})v + u \sin 3\theta])^{2k}}{|[\frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu^{-1})(u+1) - u \cos 3\theta] + i[\frac{1}{2}(\mu - \mu^{-1})v + u \sin 3\theta]|^{2k}} \\ &\times \Phi([\frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu^{-1})(u+1) - u \cos 3\theta]^2 + [\frac{1}{2}(\mu - \mu^{-1})v + u \sin 3\theta]^2 - 1) du dv. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi = [\frac{1}{2}(\mu + \mu^{-1})(u+1) - u \cos 3\theta]^2 - 1$, $\eta = \frac{1}{2}(\mu - \mu^{-1})v + u \sin 3\theta$ as above,

$$\begin{aligned} I_k(\gamma) &= \frac{6\pi \cdot \text{ord}(\gamma_2)^{-1} \ln N(\gamma_1) e^{2ki\theta}}{(\mu - \mu^{-1})(\mu + \mu^{-1} - 2 \cos 3\theta)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu - \mu^{-1})^2}^{\infty} \frac{(\sqrt{\xi + 1} + i\eta)^{2k}}{|\sqrt{\xi + 1} + i\eta|^{2k}} \\ &\times \Phi(\xi + \eta^2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi + 1}} d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By

$$(\sqrt{\xi + 1} + i\eta)^{2k} = \sum_{m=0}^{2k} \binom{2k}{m} \sqrt{\xi + 1}^{2k-m} i^m \eta^m, \quad |\sqrt{\xi + 1} + i\eta|^{2k} = (\xi + \eta^2 + 1)^k,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_k(\gamma) &= \frac{6\pi \cdot \text{ord}(\gamma_2)^{-1} \ln N(\gamma_1) e^{2ki\theta}}{(\mu - \mu^{-1})(\mu + \mu^{-1} - 2 \cos 3\theta)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu - \mu^{-1})^2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (-1)^m \\ &\times (\xi + 1)^{k-m-\frac{1}{2}} \eta^{2m} (\xi + \eta^2 + 1)^{-k} \Phi(\xi + \eta^2) d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By the transform $v = \eta^2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_k(\gamma) &= \frac{6\pi \cdot \text{ord}(\gamma_2)^{-1} \ln N(\gamma_1) e^{2ki\theta}}{(\mu - \mu^{-1})(\mu + \mu^{-1} - 2 \cos 3\theta)} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu - \mu^{-1})^2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (-1)^m \\ &\times (\xi + 1)^{k-m-\frac{1}{2}} v^{m-\frac{1}{2}} (\xi + v + 1)^{-k} \Phi(\xi + v) d\xi dv. \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu-\mu^{-1})^2}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (-1)^m \frac{(\xi+1)^{k-m-\frac{1}{2}} \eta^{m-\frac{1}{2}}}{(\xi+\eta+1)^k} \Phi(\xi+\eta) d\xi d\eta \\
&= \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu-\mu^{-1})^2}^\infty \int_\xi^\infty \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (-1)^m (\xi+1)^{k-m-\frac{1}{2}} (w-\xi)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} (w+1)^{-k} \Phi(w) dw d\xi \\
&= \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu-\mu^{-1})^2}^\infty \Phi(w) (w+1)^{-k} dw \int_{\frac{1}{4}(\mu-\mu^{-1})^2}^w \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{2k}{2m} (-1)^m \\
&\quad \times (\xi+1)^{k-m-\frac{1}{2}} (w-\xi)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$P_k(v) = \int_v^\infty \Phi(w) (w+1)^{-k} dw \int_v^w \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^m \binom{2k}{2m} (\xi+1)^{k-m-\frac{1}{2}} (w-\xi)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} d\xi.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad & I_k(\gamma) \\
&= \frac{6\pi \cdot \text{ord}(\gamma_2)^{-1} \ln N(\gamma_1) e^{2ki\theta(\gamma)}}{[N(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} - N(\gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}}] |N(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{2}i\theta(\gamma)} - N(\gamma)^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{2}i\theta(\gamma)}|^2} P_k\left(\frac{1}{4}[N(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} - N(\gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}}]^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In fact, γ can be expressed as $\gamma_1^m \gamma_2^q$, where (γ_1, γ_2) is a primitive pair (see Proposition 2.1). Thus,

$$c(\gamma) = \frac{6\pi \cdot \text{ord}(\gamma_2)^{-1} \ln N(\gamma_1)}{[N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}}] |N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{2}iq\theta(\gamma_2)} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{2}iq\theta(\gamma_2)}|^2} g(m \ln N(\gamma_1)).$$

Similar expression can be done for $I_k(\gamma)$.

$$I_k(\gamma) = \frac{6\pi \cdot \text{ord}(\gamma_2)^{-1} \ln N(\gamma_1) e^{2kqi\theta(\gamma_2)}}{[N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}}] |N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{2}iq\theta(\gamma_2)} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{2}iq\theta(\gamma_2)}|^2} g_k(m \ln N(\gamma_1)).$$

3.4. The trace formulas of weight k

From now on, we need the following assumption.

- (1) $h_k(r)$ is an analytic function on $|\text{Im}(r)| \leq 1 + \delta$.
- (2) $h_k(-r) = h_k(r)$.
- (3) $|h_k(r)| \leq M[1 + |\text{Re}(r)|]^{-4-\delta}$.

Here δ and M are positive constants.

We claim that the Fourier transform $g_k(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_k(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the inequality

$$|g_k(\eta)| \leq \frac{M}{2\pi^2} e^{-(1+\delta)|\eta|}.$$

In fact, suppose that $\eta < 0$. An application of the Cauchy integral theorem shows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_k(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr = \int_{\text{Im}(r)=1+\delta}^{\infty} h_k(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr.$$

The right hand side is bounded in absolute value by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(x + i(1+\delta))| e^{(1+\delta)\eta} dx &\leq e^{(1+\delta)\eta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{M}{(1+|x|)^{4+\delta}} dx \\ &\leq 2e^{(1+\delta)\eta} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{M}{(1+x)^2} dx = 2Me^{(1+\delta)\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$|N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{2}iq\theta(\gamma_2)} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{2}iq\theta(\gamma_2)}|^2 \geq [N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}}]^2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)^{-1} \cdot \ln N(\gamma_1) \cdot g_k(m \ln N(\gamma_1))}{[N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}}] |N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{2}iq\theta(\gamma_2)} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{2}iq\theta(\gamma_2)}|^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln N(\gamma_1) \cdot g_k(m \ln N(\gamma_1))}{[N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}}] [N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}}]^2}. \end{aligned}$$

As $m \rightarrow \infty$, $N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, there exists $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that when $m \geq m_0$, $N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}} \geq 1$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln N(\gamma_1) \cdot g_k(m \ln N(\gamma_1))}{[N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}}] [N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}}]^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{m=m_0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln N(\gamma_1)}{N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}}} \frac{M}{2\pi^2} e^{-(1+\delta)m \ln N(\gamma_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is absolutely convergent (see [H], p.31, Proposition 7.4). Now, we get the Main Theorem 1.

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that $h_k(r)$ is an analytic function on $|Im(r)| \leq 1 + \delta$, $h_k(-r) = h_k(r)$ and $|h_k(r)| \leq M[1 + |Re(r)|]^{-4-\delta}$, where δ and M are positive constants. Moreover, suppose that*

$$g_k(\eta) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_k(r) e^{-ir\eta} dr, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then the trace formulas of weight k for $SU(2, 1)$ take the following form:

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} Tr(L_k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_k(r_n) = \frac{2}{3} c_2(M) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_k(r) r(r^2 + k^2) \frac{\cosh 2\pi r + \cos 2k\pi}{\sinh 2\pi r} dr + \\ &+ 2\pi \sum_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \frac{3}{ord(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{ord(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln N(\gamma_1)}{[N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}}]} \\ &\times \frac{e^{2k i q \theta(\gamma_2)}}{|N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{2} i q \theta(\gamma_2)} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{2} i q \theta(\gamma_2)}|^2} g_k(m \ln N(\gamma_1)). \end{aligned}$$

where $k = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ and $h_0 = h$, $g_0 = g$.

$M = \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2$ with Γ a uniform, torsion-free discrete subgroup of $G = SU(2, 1)$, is a compact complex algebraic surface. It is known that (see [S] or [M]), on $S(M)$, the unit tangent bundle of M , we have geodesic flow φ_t . If $(x, \xi) \in S(M)$ is the origin and tangent vector of a closed geodesic γ starting at x with tangent ξ and of length L , then (x, ξ) is a fixed point of φ_L . So $d\varphi_L : T(S(M), (x, \xi)) \rightarrow T(S(M), (x, \xi))$. It preserves the geodesic flow vector field and hence induces a transformation, the Poincaré map, P_γ normal to that direction, i.e., P_γ is a linear transformation on a vector space of dimension $2n - 2$ if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = n$. For symmetric spaces P_γ can be computed using the Jacobi equation: For P_γ there is the map: $(V(0), V'(0)) \rightarrow (V(L), V'(L))$ where V is any Jacobi field along γ , normal to ξ . In our case, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 4$, P_γ is a linear transformation on a vector space of dimension 6.

Given $A, B \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ we write $A \sim B$ if A and B are similar; that is, there exists $Q \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$ such that $Q A Q^{-1} = B$. For $\gamma = \text{diag}(e^u e^{i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}, e^{-u} e^{i\theta})$, with $N(\gamma) = \mu^2 = e^{2u}$, $u > 0$. $\bar{\gamma}(z_1, z_2) = (e^{2u} z_1, e^u e^{3i\theta} z_2)$. The associated Poincaré map P_γ :

$$P_\gamma \sim \begin{pmatrix} e^{2u} & & & & & \\ & \begin{pmatrix} e^u e^{3i\theta} & * \\ 0 & e^u e^{3i\theta} \end{pmatrix} & & & & \\ & & e^{-2u} & & & \\ & & & \begin{pmatrix} e^{-u} e^{-3i\theta} & * \\ 0 & e^{-u} e^{-3i\theta} \end{pmatrix} & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(I - P_\gamma)| &= |(1 - e^{2u})(1 - e^{-2u})| \cdot |(1 - e^u e^{3i\theta})(1 - e^{-u} e^{-3i\theta})|^2 \\ &= |e^u - e^{-u}|^2 \cdot |e^{\frac{1}{2}u} e^{\frac{3}{2}i\theta} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}u} e^{-\frac{3}{2}i\theta}|^4. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $|\det(I - P_\gamma)|^{\frac{1}{2}} = (e^u - e^{-u})|e^{\frac{1}{2}u}e^{\frac{3}{2}i\theta} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}u}e^{-\frac{3}{2}i\theta}|^2$.

Using the Poincaré map, we can give another formulation of the trace formula as follows.

THEOREM 3.2. *The other formulation of the trace formulas of weight k for $SU(2, 1)$ takes the form:*

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(L_k) &= \frac{2}{3}c_2(M) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_k(r)r(r^2 + k^2) \frac{\cosh 2\pi r + \cos 2k\pi}{\sinh 2\pi r} dr + \\ &+ 2\pi \sum_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \frac{3}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln N(\gamma_1)}{|\det(I - P_{\gamma_1^m \gamma_2^q})|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{2k i q \theta(\gamma_2)} g_k(m \ln N(\gamma_1)), \end{aligned}$$

where $k = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ and $h_0 = h$, $g_0 = g$.

3.5. The zeta functions of weight k

Definition 3.3. The zeta function of weight k associated with the group $\langle \gamma_1 \rangle \times \langle \gamma_2 \rangle$ generated by γ_1 and γ_2 , where $\langle \gamma_1 \rangle$ is an infinite cyclic group, $\langle \gamma_2 \rangle$ is a finite cyclic group, is given by

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &Z_{\langle \gamma_1 \rangle \times \langle \gamma_2 \rangle}(k, s) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[1 - N(\gamma_1)^{-s-j-\frac{l+n}{2}} \right]^{\frac{3}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \exp([2k+3(l-n)]iq\theta(\gamma_2))}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\text{Re}(s) > 2$ and $2k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For Γ a uniform, torsion-free discrete subgroup of $SU(2, 1)$, we define

$$(3.9) \quad Z_k(s) = Z_\Gamma(k, s) = \prod_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} Z_{\langle \gamma_1 \rangle \times \langle \gamma_2 \rangle}(k, s),$$

where $\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}$ runs through a set of representatives of primitive conjugacy classes of Γ .

PROPOSITION 3.4. *The zeta functions of weight k satisfy the following relations:*

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{Z'_k(s)}{Z_k(s)} &= \sum_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \frac{3}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln N(\gamma_1)}{[N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}}]} \\ &\times \frac{e^{2k i q \theta(\gamma_2)}}{|N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{2} i q \theta(\gamma_2)} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{2} i q \theta(\gamma_2)}|^2} \frac{1}{N(\gamma_1)^{m(s-1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
S &:= \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{3 \cdot \text{ord}(\gamma_2)^{-1} e^{2k i q \theta(\gamma_2)} \ln N(\gamma_1) \cdot N(\gamma_1)^{-m(s-1)}}{[N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}}] |N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{2} i q \theta(\gamma_2)} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{2} i q \theta(\gamma_2)}|^2} \\
&= \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{3 \cdot \text{ord}(\gamma_2)^{-1} e^{2k i q \theta(\gamma_2)} \ln N(\gamma_1) \cdot N(\gamma_1)^{-ms}}{[1 - N(\gamma_1)^{-m}][1 - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}m} e^{3 i q \theta(\gamma_2)}][1 - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}m} e^{-3 i q \theta(\gamma_2)}]} \\
&= \frac{3}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \ln N(\gamma_1) e^{2k i q \theta(\gamma_2)} N(\gamma_1)^{-ms} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} N(\gamma_1)^{-mj} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}l} e^{3 i q l \theta(\gamma_2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}n} e^{-3 i q n \theta(\gamma_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \frac{3}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \ln N(\gamma_1) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} e^{[2k+3(l-n)] i q \theta(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} N(\gamma_1)^{-m(s+j+\frac{l+n}{2})} \\
&= \frac{3}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \ln N(\gamma_1) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{N(\gamma_1)^{-(s+j+\frac{l+n}{2})}}{1 - N(\gamma_1)^{-(s+j+\frac{l+n}{2})}} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} e^{[2k+3(l-n)] i q \theta(\gamma_2)} \\
&= \frac{3}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \log \left[1 - N(\gamma_1)^{-s-j-\frac{l+n}{2}} \right] \right\} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} e^{[2k+3(l-n)] i q \theta(\gamma_2)} \\
&= \frac{d}{ds} \log \left\{ \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[1 - N(\gamma_1)^{-s-j-\frac{l+n}{2}} \right]^{\frac{3}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \exp([2k+3(l-n)] i q \theta(\gamma_2))} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By $Z_k(s) = \prod_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} Z_{<\gamma_1> \times <\gamma_2>}(k, s)$, we have $\frac{Z'_k(s)}{Z_k(s)} = \sum_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \frac{Z'_{<\gamma_1> \times <\gamma_2>}(k, s)}{Z_{<\gamma_1> \times <\gamma_2>}(k, s)}$. $\square$

Using the Poincaré map, we can reformulate the Proposition 3.4 as follows.

THEOREM 3.5. *The expansions of zeta functions of weight k for $SU(2, 1)$ are expressed as:*

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{Z'_k(s)}{Z_k(s)} = \sum_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \frac{3}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln N(\gamma_1)}{|\det(I - P_{\gamma_1^m \gamma_2^q})|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{e^{2k i q \theta(\gamma_2)}}{N(\gamma_1)^{m(s-1)}}.$$

3.6. The functional equations of zeta functions

Now, we derive the functional equations of zeta functions of weight k . In fact, by the trace formulas which we develop as above, there are three zeta functions, which we denote by $Z_0(s)$, $Z_{\frac{1}{2}}(s)$ and $Z_1(s)$ according to the weight $k = 0$, $k = \frac{1}{2}$ or $k = 1$. We will prove that all of them satisfy the analogue of the Riemann hypothesis. Put

$$g_1(\eta) = g_{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) = g(\eta) \\ = \frac{1}{4\pi(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2)} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_2} e^{-\alpha_2|\eta|} - \frac{1}{\alpha_1} e^{-\alpha_1|\eta|} \right) - \frac{1}{4\pi(\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2)} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_2} e^{-\beta_2|\eta|} - \frac{1}{\beta_1} e^{-\beta_1|\eta|} \right)$$

for $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_1) < \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_2) < \operatorname{Re}(\beta_1) < \operatorname{Re}(\beta_2)$. By the following formula

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-a|x|} e^{irx} dx = \frac{2a}{r^2 + a^2},$$

we obtain

$$h_1(r) = h_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) = h(r) = \frac{1}{(r^2 + \alpha_1^2)(r^2 + \alpha_2^2)} - \frac{1}{(r^2 + \beta_1^2)(r^2 + \beta_2^2)}.$$

Note that

$$h_1(r) = h_{\frac{1}{2}}(r) = h(r) = \frac{(\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 - \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2)r^2 + (\beta_1^2\beta_2^2 - \alpha_1^2\alpha_2^2)}{(r^2 + \alpha_1^2)(r^2 + \alpha_2^2)(r^2 + \beta_1^2)(r^2 + \beta_2^2)} = O(r^{-6}), \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

The trace formulas yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(r_n^2 + \alpha_1^2)(r_n^2 + \alpha_2^2)} - \frac{1}{(r_n^2 + \beta_1^2)(r_n^2 + \beta_2^2)} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{3} c_2(M) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(r^2 + \alpha_1^2)(r^2 + \alpha_2^2)} - \frac{1}{(r^2 + \beta_1^2)(r^2 + \beta_2^2)} \right] \frac{\cosh 2\pi r + \cos 2k\pi}{\sinh 2\pi r} \\ & \quad \times r(r^2 + k^2) dr + \frac{1}{2(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2)} \sum_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \frac{3}{\operatorname{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{\operatorname{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln N(\gamma_1)}{[N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}}]} \\ & \quad \times \frac{e^{2kiq\theta(\gamma_2)}}{|N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{2}iq\theta(\gamma_2)} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{2}iq\theta(\gamma_2)}|^2} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_2} \frac{1}{N(\gamma_1)^{m\alpha_2}} - \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \frac{1}{N(\gamma_1)^{m\alpha_1}} \right] \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2(\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2)} \sum_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \frac{3}{\operatorname{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{\operatorname{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln N(\gamma_1)}{[N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{2}} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{2}}]} \\ & \quad \times \frac{e^{2kiq\theta(\gamma_2)}}{|N(\gamma_1)^{\frac{m}{4}} e^{\frac{3}{2}iq\theta(\gamma_2)} - N(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{m}{4}} e^{-\frac{3}{2}iq\theta(\gamma_2)}|^2} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_2} \frac{1}{N(\gamma_1)^{m\beta_2}} - \frac{1}{\beta_1} \frac{1}{N(\gamma_1)^{m\beta_1}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\alpha_1 = s - 1$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 2$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_2^2 - (s-1)^2} \left(\frac{1}{r_n^2 + (s-1)^2} - \frac{1}{r_n^2 + \alpha_2^2} \right) - \frac{1}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} \left(\frac{1}{r_n^2 + \beta_1^2} - \frac{1}{r_n^2 + \beta_2^2} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{3} c_2(M) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_2^2 - (s-1)^2} \left(\frac{1}{r^2 + (s-1)^2} - \frac{1}{r^2 + \alpha_2^2} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} \left(\frac{1}{r^2 + \beta_1^2} - \frac{1}{r^2 + \beta_2^2} \right) \right] r(r^2 + k^2) \frac{\cosh 2\pi r + \cos 2k\pi}{\sinh 2\pi r} dr \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2[(s-1)^2 - \alpha_2^2]} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_2} \frac{Z'_k(\alpha_2 + 1)}{Z_k(\alpha_2 + 1)} - \frac{1}{s-1} \frac{Z'_k(s)}{Z_k(s)} \right] \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2(\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2)} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_2} \frac{Z'_k(\beta_2 + 1)}{Z_k(\beta_2 + 1)} - \frac{1}{\beta_1} \frac{Z'_k(\beta_1 + 1)}{Z_k(\beta_1 + 1)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *For $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, the following identities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.12) \quad & \frac{1}{s-1} \frac{Z'_k(s)}{Z_k(s)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \frac{Z'_k(\alpha_2 + 1)}{Z_k(\alpha_2 + 1)} - \frac{(s-1)^2 - \alpha_2^2}{\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_2} \frac{Z'_k(\beta_2 + 1)}{Z_k(\beta_2 + 1)} - \frac{1}{\beta_1} \frac{Z'_k(\beta_1 + 1)}{Z_k(\beta_1 + 1)} \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{4}{3} c_2(M) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[- \left(\frac{1}{r^2 + (s-1)^2} - \frac{1}{r^2 + \alpha_2^2} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{(s-1)^2 - \alpha_2^2}{\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2} \left(\frac{1}{r^2 + \beta_1^2} - \frac{1}{r^2 + \beta_2^2} \right) \right] r(r^2 + k^2) \frac{\cosh 2\pi r + \cos 2k\pi}{\sinh 2\pi r} dr \\ & \quad - 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[- \left(\frac{1}{r_n^2 + (s-1)^2} - \frac{1}{r_n^2 + \alpha_2^2} \right) + \frac{(s-1)^2 - \alpha_2^2}{\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2} \left(\frac{1}{r_n^2 + \beta_1^2} - \frac{1}{r_n^2 + \beta_2^2} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $k = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$.

The preceding theorem allows us to continue $\frac{Z'(s)}{Z(s)}$ into $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 1$.

Let us consider the r_n sum first. It is trivial to check the absolute convergence away from the points $s_n = 1 + ir_n$, $\widetilde{s}_n = 1 - ir_n$. The singularity which occurs at s_n , $\widetilde{s}_n$ is given as follows:

- (1) $r_n \neq 0$. s_n contributes $\frac{1}{2s_n-2} \frac{1}{s-s_n} + O(1)$; $\widetilde{s}_n$ contributes $\frac{1}{2s_n-2} \frac{1}{s-\widetilde{s}_n} + O(1)$.
- (2) $r_n = 0$. $s_n = 1$ contributes $\frac{1}{(s-1)^2} + O(1)$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} W_k(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{r^2 + \alpha_2^2} - \frac{1}{r^2 + \xi^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} \left(\frac{1}{r^2 + \beta_1^2} - \frac{1}{r^2 + \beta_2^2} \right) \right] \\ & \quad \times r(r^2 + k^2) \frac{\cosh 2\pi r + \cos 2k\pi}{\sinh 2\pi r} dr. \end{aligned}$$

We will give the analytic continuation of $W_k(\xi)$ into $\text{Re}(\xi) < 0$. Without loss of generality, we can only consider the case of $k = 0$.

$$\frac{1}{\tanh \pi r} = \frac{e^{\pi r} + e^{-\pi r}}{e^{\pi r} - e^{-\pi r}} = \frac{1 + e^{-2\pi r}}{1 - e^{-2\pi r}}$$

is an odd function with period i . Let $r = r_1 + ir_2$, $r_1 > 0$, $r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\tanh \pi r} = 1 + O(e^{-2\pi r_1}) \quad \text{as } r_1 \rightarrow \infty.$$

The poles of $\frac{1}{\tanh \pi r}$ are simple and are located at the points ki , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We will move the path of integration in $W(\xi)$ to $\text{Im}(r) = N - \frac{1}{2}$, where N is a large integer. We assume here that $0 < \text{Re}(\xi) < 2$.

Singularities are encountered for $r = \pm i\xi$, $\pm i\alpha_2$, $\pm i\beta_1$, $\pm i\beta_2$ and ki ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$). The Cauchy residue theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\cdots] \frac{r^3}{\tanh \pi r} dr &= \int_{-\infty+i(N-\frac{1}{2})}^{\infty+i(N-\frac{1}{2})} [\cdots] \frac{r^3}{\tanh \pi r} dr + 2\pi i \sum_{0 \leq k < N} \text{Res}[r = ki] \\ &+ 2\pi i \text{Res}[r = i\xi] + 2\pi i \text{Res}[r = i\alpha_2] + 2\pi i \text{Res}[r = i\beta_1] + 2\pi i \text{Res}[r = i\beta_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\text{Res}[r = ki] = \frac{R_k^3}{\pi} \left[\frac{1}{R_k^2 + \alpha_2^2} - \frac{1}{R_k^2 + \xi^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} \left(\frac{1}{R_k^2 + \beta_1^2} - \frac{1}{R_k^2 + \beta_2^2} \right) \right],$$

where $R_k = ki$.

$$\text{Res}[r = i\xi] = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\xi^2}{\tan \pi \xi}, \quad \text{Res}[r = i\alpha_2] = \frac{i}{2} \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\tan \pi \alpha_2}.$$

$$\text{Res}[r = i\beta_1] = \frac{i}{2} \frac{\beta_1^2}{\tan \pi \beta_1} \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2}, \quad \text{Res}[r = i\beta_2] = -\frac{i}{2} \frac{\beta_2^2}{\tan \pi \beta_2} \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\cdots] \frac{r^3}{\tanh \pi r} dr &= \int_{-\infty+i(N-\frac{1}{2})}^{\infty+i(N-\frac{1}{2})} [\cdots] \frac{r^3}{\tanh \pi r} dr \\ &+ 2i \sum_{0 \leq k < N} R_k^3 \left[\frac{1}{R_k^2 + \alpha_2^2} - \frac{1}{R_k^2 + \xi^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} \left(\frac{1}{R_k^2 + \beta_1^2} - \frac{1}{R_k^2 + \beta_2^2} \right) \right] \\ &+ \pi \left(\frac{\xi^2}{\tan \pi \xi} - \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\tan \pi \alpha_2} \right) - \pi \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} \left(\frac{\beta_1^2}{\tan \pi \beta_1} - \frac{\beta_2^2}{\tan \pi \beta_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The analytic continuation of $W(\xi)$ is obtained.

If $|\operatorname{Re}(\xi)| < N - \frac{1}{2}$, we can define

$$\begin{aligned} W_0(\xi) &= \int_{-\infty+i(N-\frac{1}{2})}^{\infty+i(N-\frac{1}{2})} [\cdots] \frac{r^3}{\tanh \pi r} dr \\ &\quad + 2i \sum_{0 \leq k < N} R_k^3 \left[\frac{1}{R_k^2 + \alpha_2^2} - \frac{1}{R_k^2 + \xi^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} \left(\frac{1}{R_k^2 + \beta_1^2} - \frac{1}{R_k^2 + \beta_2^2} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \pi(\xi^2 \cot \pi \xi - \alpha_2^2 \cot \pi \alpha_2) - \pi \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} (\beta_1^2 \cot \pi \beta_1 - \beta_2^2 \cot \pi \beta_2). \end{aligned}$$

The $i(N - \frac{1}{2})$ integral is holomorphic for $|\operatorname{Re}(\xi)| < N - \frac{1}{2}$.

Let $u = r - i(N - \frac{1}{2})$, note that $\tanh[\pi(u + i(N - \frac{1}{2}))] = \frac{1}{\tanh \pi u}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty+i(N-\frac{1}{2})}^{\infty+i(N-\frac{1}{2})} [\cdots] \frac{r^3}{\tanh \pi r} dr \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(u + i(N - \frac{1}{2}))^2 + \alpha_2^2} - \frac{1}{(u + i(N - \frac{1}{2}))^2 + \xi^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left(\frac{1}{(u + i(N - \frac{1}{2}))^2 + \beta_1^2} - \frac{1}{(u + i(N - \frac{1}{2}))^2 + \beta_2^2} \right) \right] (u + i(N - \frac{1}{2}))^3 \tanh \pi u du. \end{aligned}$$

Breaking up this integral into contributions for $|u| \leq N$ and $|u| > N$, then its value is $O(N^{-2})$ for fixed ξ , α_2 , β_1 and β_2 . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (3.13) \quad W_0(\xi) &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^3 \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_2^2 - k^2} - \frac{1}{\xi^2 - k^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_1^2 - k^2} - \frac{1}{\beta_2^2 - k^2} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \pi(\xi^2 \cot \pi \xi - \alpha_2^2 \cot \pi \alpha_2) - \pi \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} (\beta_1^2 \cot \pi \beta_1 - \beta_2^2 \cot \pi \beta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.14) \quad W_1(\xi) &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k^3 - k) \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_2^2 - k^2} - \frac{1}{\xi^2 - k^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} \left(\frac{1}{\beta_1^2 - k^2} - \frac{1}{\beta_2^2 - k^2} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \pi[(\xi^2 - 1) \cot \pi \xi - (\alpha_2^2 - 1) \cot \pi \alpha_2] \\ &\quad - \pi \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} [(\beta_1^2 - 1) \cot \pi \beta_1 - (\beta_2^2 - 1) \cot \pi \beta_2]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad W_{\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(k+1)(2k+1) \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_2^2 - (k + \frac{1}{2})^2} - \frac{1}{\xi^2 - (k + \frac{1}{2})^2} + \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{\beta_1^2 - (k + \frac{1}{2})^2} - \frac{1}{\beta_2^2 - (k + \frac{1}{2})^2} \right) \Big] + \pi \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} - \xi^2 \right) \tan \pi \xi - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \alpha_2^2 \right) \right. \\
&\quad \times \tan \pi \alpha_2 \Big] - \pi \frac{\alpha_2^2 - \xi^2}{\beta_2^2 - \beta_1^2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} - \beta_1^2 \right) \tan \pi \beta_1 - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \beta_2^2 \right) \tan \pi \beta_2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.7. *The following formulas hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad & \frac{1}{s-1} \frac{Z'_k(s)}{Z_k(s)} = \frac{4}{3} c_2(M) W_k(s-1) + \\
& + \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \frac{Z'_k(\alpha_2+1)}{Z_k(\alpha_2+1)} - \frac{(s-1)^2 - \alpha_2^2}{\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_2} \frac{Z'_k(\beta_2+1)}{Z_k(\beta_2+1)} - \frac{1}{\beta_1} \frac{Z'_k(\beta_1+1)}{Z_k(\beta_1+1)} \right] + \\
& - 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{r_n^2 + \alpha_2^2} - \frac{1}{r_n^2 + (s-1)^2} + \frac{(s-1)^2 - \alpha_2^2}{\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2} \left(\frac{1}{r_n^2 + \beta_1^2} - \frac{1}{r_n^2 + \beta_2^2} \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $k = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

THEOREM 3.8. *The functional equations of zeta functions of weight k are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad Z_k(s) &= Z_k(2-s) \exp \left[\frac{8}{3} \pi c_2(M) \int_0^{s-1} v(v^2 - k^2) \cot \pi v dv \right], \quad k = 0, 1; \\
Z_k(s) &= Z_k(2-s) \exp \left[\frac{8}{3} \pi c_2(M) \int_0^{s-1} v(k^2 - v^2) \tan \pi v dv \right], \quad k = \frac{1}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{S}_2$ is a compact complex algebraic surface with $c_1^2 = 3c_2$.

Proof. When $k = 0$, by Proposition 3.7, we have

$$\frac{1}{s-1} \left[\frac{Z'_0(s)}{Z_0(s)} + \frac{Z'_0(2-s)}{Z_0(2-s)} \right] = \frac{8}{3} \pi c_2(M) (s-1)^2 \cot \pi(s-1).$$

An elementary calculation gives

$$\log \frac{Z_0(s)}{Z_0(2-s)} = \frac{8}{3} \pi c_2(M) \int_0^{s-1} v^3 \cot \pi v dv.$$

The cases of $k = 1$ and $k = \frac{1}{2}$ can be proved in the same way. □

COROLLARY 3.9. *The functional equations of zeta functions of weight k can be expressed as*

$$(3.18) \quad Z_0(s) = Z_0(2-s) [\sin \pi(s-1)]^{\frac{8}{3} c_2(M)(s-1)^3} \exp \left[-8c_2(M) \int_0^{s-1} v^2 \log \sin \pi v dv \right],$$

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_1(s) = & Z_1(2-s) [\sin \pi(s-1)]^{\frac{8}{3}c_2(M)s(s-1)(s-2)} \\ & \times \exp \left[-8c_2(M) \int_0^{s-1} \left(v^2 - \frac{1}{3}\right) \log \sin \pi v dv \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_{\frac{1}{2}}(s) = & Z_{\frac{1}{2}}(2-s) [\cos \pi(s-1)]^{\frac{8}{3}c_2(M)(s-\frac{1}{2})(s-1)(s-\frac{3}{2})} \\ & \times \exp \left[-8c_2(M) \int_0^{s-1} \left(v^2 - \frac{1}{12}\right) \log \cos \pi v dv \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $k = 0$, it is obtained by the following integral

$$\int_0^{s-1} \pi v^3 \cot \pi v dv = (s-1)^3 \log \sin \pi(s-1) - 3 \int_0^{s-1} v^2 \log \sin \pi v dv.$$

The other two cases can be proved in the same way. □

3.7. The analogue of the Riemann hypothesis

THEOREM 3.10. *Let*

$$Z_k(s) = \prod_{\{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \prod_{l=0}^{\infty} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[1 - N(\gamma_1)^{-s-j-\frac{l+n}{2}} \right]^{\frac{3}{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \sum_{q=1}^{\text{ord}(\gamma_2)} \exp([2k+3(l-n)]iq\theta(\gamma_2))}$$

where $\text{Re}(s) > 2$ and $k = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$. Then

- (1) $Z_k(s)$ are actually entire functions.
- (2) The identity of Proposition 3.7 holds for all s .
- (3) $Z_k(s)$ ($k = 0, 1$) have trivial zeros $s = -m$, $m \geq 0$, with multiplicity $\frac{8}{3}c_2(M)(m+1)^3$; $Z_k(s)$ ($k = \frac{1}{2}$) has trivial zeros $s = -m + \frac{1}{2}$, $m \geq 0$, with multiplicity $\frac{4}{3}c_2(M)m(m+1)(2m+1)$.
- (4) The nontrivial zeros of $Z_k(s)$ are located at $1 \pm ir_n$, i.e., the analogue of the Riemann hypothesis is true.

Proof. By (3.16), $Z'_k(s)/Z_k(s)$ continues meromorphically to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. By the calculation on the r_n sum in (3.12), we know that the r_n sum contributes principal parts $(s - s_n)^{-1}$, $(s - \tilde{s}_n)^{-1}$ for each $n \geq 0$. This implies that the analogue of the Riemann hypothesis is true. By $\pi \cot \pi z = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z-n} + \frac{1}{z+n} \right)$ and (3.13),

when the weight $k = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^3}{(s-1)^2 - m^2} + \pi(s-1)^2 \cot \pi(s-1) \\
& = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^2 \left(\frac{1}{s-1-m} - \frac{1}{s-1+m} \right) + (s-1) + \\
& \quad + (s-1)^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{s-1-m} + \frac{1}{s-1+m} \right) \\
& = (s-1) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (s-1+m) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(s-1)^2 + m^2}{s-1+m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(s-1)^2 + m^2}{s-1+m} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s-1)^2 + (m+1)^2}{s+m}$. The m -sum contributes

$$(m+1) \cdot \frac{4}{3} c_2(M) \cdot 2(m+1)^2 (s+m)^{-1} = \frac{8}{3} c_2(M) \cdot (m+1)^3 (s+m)^{-1}.$$

Hence, $Z_0(s)$ has trivial zeros $s = -m$ with multiplicity $\frac{8}{3} c_2(M) (m+1)^3$.

The cases of $k = 1$ and $k = \frac{1}{2}$ can be proved in the same way.

□

Appendix

In this appendix, we calculate the following four integrals.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cosh u \sin ru - r \cos ru \sinh u}{\sinh^3 u} du, \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cosh u \sin ru - r \cos ru \sinh u}{\sinh \frac{u}{2} \sinh^2 u} du, \\
& \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin ru}{\sinh \frac{u}{2} \cosh^3 \frac{u}{2}} du, \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos ru}{\cosh^4 \frac{u}{2}} du.
\end{aligned}$$

For the first integral, we denote

$$G(r) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cosh u \sin ru - r \sinh u \cos ru}{\sinh^3 u} du.$$

Let

$$f(z) = \frac{\cosh z - ir \sinh z}{\sinh^3 z} e^{irz},$$

$\operatorname{Im} f(u) = \frac{\cosh u \sin ru - r \sinh u \cos ru}{\sinh^3 u}$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, $f(z) = \frac{4[(1-ir)e^{4z} + (1+ir)e^{2z}]}{(e^{2z}-1)^3} e^{irz}$. $z = 0$ and $z = \pi i$ are two poles of order three of f . Thus,

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} [z^3 f(z)], \quad \operatorname{Res}(f, \pi i) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{z \rightarrow \pi i} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} [(z - \pi i)^3 f(z)].$$

Set

$$g_1(z) = z^3 f(z) = h(z)p(z)^3, \quad g_2(z) = (z - \pi i)^3 f(z) = h(z)q(z)^3,$$

where

$$h(z) = 4[(1-ir)e^{4z} + (1+ir)e^{2z}]e^{irz}, \quad p(z) = \frac{z}{e^{2z}-1}, \quad q(z) = \frac{z - \pi i}{e^{2z}-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} p(z) &= \frac{1}{2}, & \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} p'(z) &= -\frac{1}{2}, & \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} p''(z) &= \frac{1}{3}. \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow \pi i} q(z) &= \frac{1}{2}, & \lim_{z \rightarrow \pi i} q'(z) &= -\frac{1}{2}, & \lim_{z \rightarrow \pi i} q''(z) &= \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

$$h(0) = 8, \quad h'(0) = 24, \quad h''(0) = 80 + 8r^2.$$

$$h(\pi i) = 8e^{-\pi r}, \quad h'(\pi i) = 24e^{-\pi r}, \quad h''(\pi i) = (80 + 8r^2)e^{-\pi r}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} g_1''(z) &= \frac{1}{8} h''(0) - \frac{3}{4} h'(0) + h(0) = r^2, \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow \pi i} g_2''(z) &= \frac{1}{8} h''(\pi i) - \frac{3}{4} h'(\pi i) + h(\pi i) = r^2 e^{-\pi r}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{Res}(f, 0) = \frac{1}{2} r^2, \quad \operatorname{Res}(f, \pi i) = \frac{1}{2} r^2 e^{-\pi r}.$$

Now, we consider the following contour integral: I-II-III- γ_2 -IV- γ_1 -I, where I is an ordered line segment from $[\varepsilon, 0]$ to $[R, 0]$, II is an ordered line segment from $[R, 0]$ to $[R, \pi]$, III is an ordered line segment from $[R, \pi]$ to $[\varepsilon, \pi]$, γ_2 is an ordered arc from $[\varepsilon, \pi]$ to $[0, \pi - \varepsilon]$, IV is an ordered line segment from $[0, \pi - \varepsilon]$ to $[0, \varepsilon]$, and γ_1 is an ordered arc from $[0, \varepsilon]$ to $[\varepsilon, 0]$.

In II, $\int_{II} f(z) dz \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. In III, $z = x + \pi i$. Hence,

$$\int_{III} f(z) dz = -e^{-\pi r} \int_{\varepsilon}^R \frac{4[(1-ir)e^{4x} + (1+ir)e^{2x}]}{(e^{2x}-1)^3} e^{irx} dx.$$

For γ_1 , $z = 0$ is the center of this arc. $\int_{\gamma_1} f(z)dz \rightarrow -\frac{1}{4} \cdot 2\pi i \text{Res}(f, 0) = -\frac{\pi i}{4} r^2$. For γ_2 , $z = \pi i$ is the center of this arc. $\int_{\gamma_2} f(z)dz \rightarrow -\frac{1}{4} \cdot 2\pi i \text{Res}(f, \pi i) = -\frac{\pi i}{4} r^2 e^{-\pi r}$. In IV,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{IV} f(z)dz &= - \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi-\varepsilon} \frac{4[(1-ir)e^{4iy} + (1+ir)e^{2iy}]}{(e^{2iy} - 1)^3} e^{ir \cdot iy} d(iy) \\ &= \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi-\varepsilon} \frac{\cos y + r \sin y}{\sin^3 y} e^{-ry} dy. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy theorem, we have $(\int_I + \int_{II} + \int_{III} + \int_{\gamma_2} + \int_{IV} + \int_{\gamma_1})f(z)dz = 0$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - e^{-\pi r}) \int_{\varepsilon}^R \frac{4[(1-ir)e^{4x} + (1+ir)e^{2x}]}{(e^{2x} - 1)^3} e^{irx} dx - \frac{\pi i}{4} r^2 \\ - \frac{\pi i}{4} r^2 e^{-\pi r} + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi-\varepsilon} \frac{\cos y + r \sin y}{\sin^3 y} e^{-ry} dy + o(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Take imaginary parts and let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $R \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$(A.1) \quad G(r) = \frac{\pi}{4} r^2 \frac{1 + e^{-\pi r}}{1 - e^{-\pi r}}.$$

For the second integral, we denote

$$H(r) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cosh u \sin ru - r \sinh u \cos ru}{\sinh \frac{u}{2} \sinh^2 u} du.$$

Let

$$f(z) = \frac{\cosh z - ir \sinh z}{\sinh \frac{z}{2} \sinh^2 z} e^{irz}.$$

By the same method as above, we have

$$(A.2) \quad H(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(r^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \tanh \pi r.$$

For the third integral

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin ru}{\sinh \frac{u}{2} \cosh^3 \frac{u}{2}} du,$$

let

$$f(z) = \frac{16e^{irz} e^{2z}}{(e^z - 1)(e^z + 1)^3}.$$

Now, we consider the following contour integral: I-II-III- γ_2 -IV- γ_3 -V- γ_1 -I, where I is an ordered line segment from $[\varepsilon, 0]$ to $[R, 0]$, II is an ordered line segment from $[R, 0]$ to $[R, 2\pi]$, III is an ordered line segment from $[R, 2\pi]$ to $[\varepsilon, 2\pi]$, γ_2 is an ordered arc from $[\varepsilon, 2\pi]$ to $[0, 2\pi - \varepsilon]$, IV is an ordered line segment from $[0, 2\pi - \varepsilon]$ to $[0, \pi + \varepsilon]$, γ_3 is an ordered arc from $[0, \pi + \varepsilon]$ to $[0, \pi - \varepsilon]$, V is an ordered line segment from $[0, \pi - \varepsilon]$ to $[0, \varepsilon]$, and γ_1 is an ordered arc from $[0, \varepsilon]$ to $[\varepsilon, 0]$. For II: $\int_{II} f(z)dz \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. For III: $z = x + 2\pi i$. $\int_{III} f(z)dz = -e^{-2\pi r} \int_{\varepsilon}^R \frac{16e^{irx}e^{2x}}{(e^x-1)(e^x+1)^3}dx$. For I: $z = x$. $\int_I f(z)dz = \int_{\varepsilon}^R \frac{16e^{irx}e^{2x}}{(e^x-1)(e^x+1)^3}dx$. $z = 0$ is a pole of order one, $\text{Res}(f, 0) = 2$. $\int_{\gamma_1} f(z)dz \rightarrow -\frac{1}{4} \cdot 2\pi i \text{Res}(f, 0) = -\pi i$. $z = 2\pi i$ is a pole of order one, $\text{Res}(f, 2\pi i) = 2e^{-2\pi r}$. $\int_{\gamma_2} f(z)dz \rightarrow -\frac{1}{4} \cdot 2\pi i \text{Res}(f, 2\pi i) = -\pi i e^{-2\pi r}$. $z = \pi i$ is a pole of order three,

$$\text{Res}(f, \pi i) = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{z \rightarrow \pi i} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \left[(z - \pi i)^3 \frac{16e^{irz}e^{2z}}{(e^z - 1)(e^z + 1)^3} \right] = -2(2r^2 + 1)e^{-\pi r}.$$

$$\int_{\gamma_3} f(z)dz \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\pi i \text{Res}(f, \pi i) = 2\pi i(2r^2 + 1)e^{-\pi r}.$$

For IV: $z = iy$,

$$\int_{IV} f(z)dz = \int_{2\pi-\varepsilon}^{\pi+\varepsilon} \frac{16e^{iry}e^{2iy}}{(e^{iy}-1)(e^{iy}+1)^3}d(iy) = - \int_{\pi+\varepsilon}^{2\pi-\varepsilon} \frac{e^{-ry}}{\sin \frac{y}{2} \cos^3 \frac{y}{2}} dy.$$

For V: $z = iy$, $\int_V f(z)dz = - \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi-\varepsilon} \frac{e^{-ry}}{\sin \frac{y}{2} \cos^3 \frac{y}{2}} dy$. By the residue theorem, we have

$$(1 - e^{-2\pi r}) \int_{\varepsilon}^R \frac{16e^{irx}e^{2x}}{(e^x-1)(e^x+1)^3}dx - \left(\int_{\pi+\varepsilon}^{2\pi-\varepsilon} + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi-\varepsilon} \right) \frac{e^{-ry}}{\sin \frac{y}{2} \cos^3 \frac{y}{2}} dy - \pi i - \pi i e^{-2\pi r} + 2\pi i(2r^2 + 1)e^{-\pi r} + o(1) = 0.$$

Take imaginary parts and let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$(A.3) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin rx}{\sinh \frac{x}{2} \cosh^3 \frac{x}{2}} dx = \frac{\pi}{\tanh \pi r} - \frac{\pi(2r^2 + 1)}{\sinh \pi r}.$$

For the fourth integral

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos ru}{\cosh^4 \frac{u}{2}} du,$$

let

$$f(z) = \frac{16e^{irz}e^{2z}}{(e^z + 1)^4}.$$

Now, we consider the following contour integral: I-II-III-IV- γ -V-I, where I is an ordered line segment from $[0, 0]$ to $[R, 0]$, II is an ordered line segment from $[R, 0]$ to $[R, 2\pi]$, III is an ordered line segment from $[R, 2\pi]$ to $[0, 2\pi]$, IV is an ordered line segment from $[0, 2\pi]$ to $[0, \pi + \varepsilon]$, γ is an ordered arc from $[0, \pi + \varepsilon]$ to $[0, \pi - \varepsilon]$, V is an ordered line segment from $[0, \pi - \varepsilon]$ to $[0, 0]$. For I: $\int_I f(z)dz = \int_0^R \frac{16e^{irx}e^{2x}}{(e^x+1)^4}dx$. For II: $\int_{II} f(z)dz \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. For III: $z = x + 2\pi i$.

$$\int_{III} f(z)dz = - \int_0^R \frac{16e^{ir(x+2\pi i)}e^{2(x+2\pi i)}}{(e^{x+2\pi i}+1)^4}dx = -e^{-2\pi r} \int_0^R \frac{16e^{irx}e^{2x}}{(e^x+1)^4}dx.$$

For IV: $z = iy$.

$$\int_{IV} f(z)dz = \int_{2\pi}^{\pi+\varepsilon} \frac{16e^{ir(iy)}e^{2iy}}{(e^{iy}+1)^4}d(iy) = -i \int_{\pi+\varepsilon}^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-ry}}{\cos^4 \frac{y}{2}}dy.$$

For V: $z = iy$. $\int_V f(z)dz = -i \int_0^{\pi-\varepsilon} \frac{e^{-ry}}{\cos^4 \frac{y}{2}}dy$. $z = \pi i$ is a pole of order four.

$$\text{Res}(f, \pi i) = \frac{1}{3!} \lim_{z \rightarrow \pi i} \frac{d^3}{dz^3} \left[(z - \pi i)^4 \frac{16e^{irz}e^{2z}}{(e^z+1)^4} \right] = -\frac{8}{3}ir(r^2+1)e^{-\pi r}.$$

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2\pi i \text{Res}(f, \pi i) = -\frac{8}{3}\pi r(r^2+1)e^{-\pi r}.$$

The residue theorem tell us that

$$(1 - e^{-2\pi r}) \int_0^R \frac{16e^{irx}e^{2x}}{(e^x+1)^4}dx - i \left(\int_0^{\pi-\varepsilon} + \int_{\pi+\varepsilon}^{2\pi} \right) \frac{e^{-ry}}{\cos^4 \frac{y}{2}}dy - \frac{8}{3}\pi r(r^2+1)e^{-\pi r} + o(1) = 0.$$

Take real parts and let $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$(A.4) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos rx}{\cosh^4 \frac{x}{2}}dx = \frac{4\pi r(r^2+1)}{3 \sinh \pi r}.$$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PEKING UNIVERSITY
BEIJING 100871, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: yanglei@math.pku.edu.cn

REFERENCES

- [Ar] J. ARTHUR, The Selberg trace formula for groups of F -rank one, *Ann. of Math.* **100** (1974), 326-385.
- [APS] M. F. ATIYAH, V. K. PATODI AND I. M. SINGER, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry I, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **77** (1975), 43-69.
- [BM] D. BARBASCH AND H. MOSCOVICI, L^2 -index and the Selberg trace formula, *J. Funct. Anal.* **53** (1983), 151-201.
- [BPV] W. BARTH, C. PETERS AND A. VAN DE VEN, *Compact Complex Surfaces*, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* (3) **4**, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [Bo] A. BOREL, Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces, *Topology* **2** (1963), 111-122.
- [CV] P. CARTIER AND A. VOROS, Une nouvelle interprétation de la formule des traces de Selberg, in: *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II*, *Progress in Math.* **87**, Birkhäuser, 1990, 1-67.
- [DP] E. D'HOKER AND D. H. PHONG, On determinants of Laplacians on Riemann surfaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986), 537-545.
- [DL] M. DUFLO AND J. P. LABESSE, Sur la formule des traces de Selberg, *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.* (4) **4** (1971), 193-284.
- [El] J. ELSTRODT, Die Resolvente zum Eigenwertproblem der automorphen Formen in der hyperbolischen Ebene, I, *Math. Ann.* **203** (1973), 295-330; II, *Math. Z.* **132** (1973), 99-134; III, *Math. Ann.* **208** (1974), 99-132.
- [ElGM] J. ELSTRODT, F. GRUNEWALD AND J. MENNICKE, *Groups Acting on Hyperbolic Space, Harmonic Analysis and Number Theory*, *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [Ga] R. GANGOLLI, Zeta functions of Selberg's type for compact space forms of symmetric spaces of rank one, *Illinois J. Math.* **21** (1977), 1-42.
- [GW] R. GANGOLLI AND G. WARNER, Zeta functions of Selberg's type for some noncompact quotients of symmetric spaces of rank one, *Nagoya Math. J.* **78** (1980), 1-44.
- [Gar] P. R. GARABEDIAN, *Partial Differential Equations*, *AMS Chelsea Publishing*, 1998.
- [G] G. GIRAUD, Sur certaines fonctions automorphes de deux variables, *Ann. Éc. Norm.* (3) **38** (1921), 43-164.
- [Go] W. M. GOLDMAN, *Complex Hyperbolic Geometry*, *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*, Oxford, 1999.
- [H] D. A. HEJHAL, *The Selberg Trace Formula for $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$* , Vol. 1, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **548**, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [HP] S. HERSONSKY AND F. PAULIN, On the volumes of complex hyperbolic manifolds, *Duke Math. J.* **84** (1996), 719-737.
- [Hi1] F. HIRZEBRUCH, Automorphe Formen und der Satz von Riemann-Roch, *Symp. Intern. Top. Alg.* 1956, 129-144, *Universidad de Mexico* 1958.
- [Hi2] F. HIRZEBRUCH, *Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, 3rd ed., *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* **131**, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [Ku] T. KUBOTA, *Elementary Theory of Eisenstein Series*. Wiley, New York, 1973.
- [Kr] N. KUROKAWA, Special values of Selberg zeta functions, *Contemp. Math.* **83** (1989), 133-150.
- [Ma] H. MAASS, Die Differentialgleichungen in der Theorie der elliptischen Modulfunktionen, *Math. Ann.* **125** (1953), 235-263.
- [M] J. J. MILLSON, Closed geodesics and the η -invariant, *Ann. of Math.* **108** (1978), 1-39.
- [R] M. S. RAGHUNATHAN, *Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups*, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* **68**, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [RS] D. B. RAY AND I. M. SINGER, Analytic torsion for complex manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* **98** (1973), 154-177.
- [Ro] W. ROELCKE, Das Eigenwertproblem der automorphen Formen in der hyperbolischen Ebene, I, *Math. Ann.* **167** (1966), 292-337; II, *Math. Ann.* **168** (1967), 261-324.
- [Sa] P. SARNAK, Determinants of Laplacians, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **110** (1987), 113-120.

- [Se] A. SELBERG, Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces with applications to Dirichlet series, J. Indian Math. Soc. B. **20** (1956), 47-87.
- [S] I. M. SINGER, Eigenvalues of the Laplacian and invariants of manifolds, Proc. Internat. Cong. Math. Vancouver, B. C. 1974, 187-200.
- [St] M. STERN, L^2 -index theorems on locally symmetric spaces, Invent. Math. **96** (1989), 231-282.
- [V] A. VOROS, Spectral functions, special functions and the Selberg zeta function, Comm. Math. Phys. **110** (1987), 439-465.
- [Y] L. YANG, Product formulas on a unitary group in three variables, math.NT/0104259.
- [Yau] S. T. YAU, On Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **74** (1977), 1798-1799.
- [Yo] K. YOSIDA, *Functional Analysis*, 6th ed., Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **123**, Springer-Verlag, 1980.